104 8

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 14.09.2022 (AT 10.30 AM) AT KALYANI MANDAP, PARADEEP, JAGATSINGHPUR WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF M/S INDIAN FARMER FERTILIZER COOPERATIVE LIMITED FOR EXPANSION OF EXISTING FERTILIZER PLANT FOR MANUFACTURING OF NANO-FERTILIZER AT IFFCO PARADEEP UNIT (KHATA NO. 29 (PLOT NO.401 (P) & KHATA NO 44 (PLOT NO. 400 (P)) AT SURINDER JAKHAR NAGAR, VILLAGE- MUSADIA UNDER KUJANG TAHASIL IN THE DISTRICT OF JAGATSINGHPUR FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE.

The public hearing in respect of the project proposed by M/s Indian Farmers Fertilizer Cooperative Limited (IFFCO) for expansion of existing fertilizer plant for manufacturing of Nano-fertilizer at IFFCO Paradeep Unit (Khata no. 29 (Plot no.401 (p) & Khata no.4 (Plot no. 400 (p)) at Surinder Jakhar Nagar, Village-Musadia under Kujang Tahasil in the district of Jagatsinghpur was held on scheduled date & time with reference to:

I. EIA Notification S.O 1533 (E) Dtd. 14.09.2006 and Amendments thereafter.

The Panel consisted of the following members:

1. Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Additional District Magistrate, Paradeep
2. Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, Odisha

Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Additional District Magistrate, Paradeep, supervised & presided over the public hearing process which was assisted by Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, the representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar.

At the outset, welcoming the members participated in the meeting, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, gave a brief introduction about the guidelines to be followed for the public hearing in the light of EIA Notification, 2006 and interim operational guidelines till date, in respect of the project proposed by M/s IFFCO.

Shri Behera explained that the views, comments, objections and opinions of the public are necessary while considering the environmental clearance of the project. He asked the project proponent to make a brief presentation before the public, gathered in the meeting about the various aspects of the proposed project to be undertaken for better awareness of the public. On behalf of the Project Proponent, Shri Debasish Mohanty, Deputy Manager (EPC) addressed the committee members and assembled public and made a brief presentation about the salient features of the proposed project, environmental management, pollution control

measures, waste management and various other CSR activities envisaged in the proposed project.

Then Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep requested the public to give their views, comments, objections and opinions on the proposed project.

The views expressed by various speakers on the proposed project are as follows

1. **Shri Siba Charan Biswal, Paradeep Paribesh Surakshya Trust, Paradeep:**

   He gave his conditional support to the project but he raised his concerned about handling of gypsum, air, water and soil pollution. He requested for early disposal of gypsum stacked by IFFCO and demanded SPCB authority to issue directive regarding that. He requested for extensive plantation to be achieved 33% plantation criteria laid down by MOEF & CC. He mentioned that advance procedure should be followed for disposal of gypsum.

2. **Shri Jagannath Haldar, Sana Bijayachandpur, Paradeep:**

   Welcoming the project he was concerned about that local employment in IFFCO. He requested for employment for village youth as per their eligibility and early disposal of gypsum.

3. **Shri Debendra Kumar Rout, Sarpanch, Nuagarh G.P:**

   While supporting the project, he thanked IFFCO authority for such expansion proposal. He raised some demands like peripheral development of Nuagarh G.P, that to provide basic amenities like good road, education, health care facilities, employment to youth of Nuagarh G.P as per their eligibility. He demanded for minimum plantation up to 35-40%.

4. **Shri Sudam Das, Nuagarh:**

   He was wholeheartedly supported the project and told that peripheral development will occur by development of the industry. He told that the project will be a pollution free project and requested to provide employment to unemployed local people as per their eligibility.

5. **Shri Dhirendra Kumar Swain, Bhutamundai:**

   Supporting the proposed project he told that NANO fertilizer is a developed product of fertilizer that will replace one 50 kg bag of conventional fertilizer with 500 ml of NANO product. He expressed his displeasure regarding employment in IFFCO. In his demand he emphasized on providing employment to local people of the

surrounding villages especially to land losers. He requested administration to stress upon providing employment to land losers.

6. **Smt. Snehamayee Acharya, Paradeep:**

Supporting the project she told that 200 Nos. of employment in the project is a matter of assurance and requested that employment should be carried out as per eligibility criteria and she demanded more plantations further. She praised IFFCO for its association with social work. Supporting the project and nano fertilizer product she told that usage of this fertilizer will be less time consuming with more productivity and environmental friendly. She requested that care should be taken to prevent environmental pollution and protect environment of this coastal area of Paradeep.

7. **Shri Ranjan Kumar Pradhan, Rahama:**

He has registered his name in deliberation list, however remained absent during his turn for deliberation.

8. **Smt. Rashmita Nayak, Chatua, Kujanga:**

She welcomed the project due to environmental suitability of the proposed NANO fertilizer. She told that IFFCO is actively involved in social welfare activity and requested district administration and IFFCO authority to provide a vehicle and some financial aid for their social welfare organization working for helpless/homeless people.

9. **Shri Prakash Chandra Jena, Bijayachandrapur:**

Welcoming the project he told that this type of project is first of its kind in Odisha. The NANO fertilizer product will be more beneficial to environment over conventional fertilizer which has some adverse effect on environment and produce by-product like gypsum. He told that this NANO fertilizer is a developed product of fertilizer with modern technology that will replace one 50 kg bag of conventional fertilizer with 500 ml of NANO product. Due to this transportation cost will also be reduced. He requested IFFCO authority for their extended cooperation towards rehabilitation of people of surrounding areas during natural calamity and peripheral development of the area.

10. **Shri Baburam Choudhury, Naba Nirman Krushak Sangha, Kujanga:**

He said that existing operating industries in Paradeep such as IFFCO, PPL, IOCL, PPA, AMNS etc. cause pollution in Paradeep due to discharge of solid and liquid effluent and emission into air. Industrial emission has impacts on health of people of surrounding areas. Health of river, fishery has been deteriorated. Industrial pollution has affected some areas such as Marshaghai, Mahakalapada, Patakura blocks of Kendrapara district along with Paradeep and Kujang. He stated that industry authority has only concerned about their profit. They did not look into the peripheral development. There is no good health care facility in the surrounding area. He emphasized on development of peripheral areas. Local people need employment from the industry as they have lost their livelihood which is based on paddy-betel farming and fishery. He also said that focus should be given on development of bio

fertilizer instead of the proposed Nano fertilizer which is again a chemical fertilizer. He alleged that points raised in public hearing were not considered seriously. He said that process of public hearing should not be a formality. The priority should be given to protection of environment.

11. Shri Jaganmohan Swain, Chhanda:

He accused that though the industry initially provides employment to candidates having qualification in diploma and degree engineering, later terminated by the industry. No single employment has been made till date. He demanded IFFCO to provide employment especially of local land losers.

12. Shri Sankhali Barik, Chhanda:

He has registered his name in deliberation list, however remained absent during his turn for deliberation.

13.Shri Pratap Kumar Samal, ParadeepGarh:

He completely opposed the project and told that industries are not expending their CSR funding towards peripheral development at Nuagarh G.P area. IFFCO is the highest polluting unit causing several diseases. He questioned about the species of plantation done by the unit, which absorb environmental pollution. He demanded the letters issued by statutory governing authority like CPCB, SPCB or NGT allowing IFFCO for stacking of gypsum and wanted to know allowable height for stacking of gypsum. He also accused that during rainy season River Mahanadi is getting polluted due to discharge of gypsum pond contaminated water. Health of fish in river and sea mouth is getting affected and hence fishery is hampered costing livelihood of the fishermen community. He told that being a land loser he did not get any compensation from Government or from IFFCO till date. He also accused that due to establishments of industries such as IOCL, IFFCO, ESSAR etc. people of Paradeep have lost their land. He demanded to return their land which have not yet utilize for industrial purpose.

14. Ms. Janaki Maharta, Nuagarh G.P:

She supported the project but raised her concern related to employment welfare activities. She said that though IFFCO is providing vocational training to local students but employment was not provided to them. Being land looser she is hopeful in this project she will get a job and demanded employment should be provided to locals at Gram Panchayat level instead of district level.

15. Smt. Sailendri Senapati, Madhuban, Paradeep, NOC Foundation State Coordinator:

She supported the project and praised IFFCO for his active involvement in social welfare activities during Covid pandemic. She mentioned that due to this project mostly farmers will be benefitted and local youth will be employed. She also emphasized that care should be taken for environmental protection and plantation.

16. Dr. Debadutta Samantray, Paradeep (Executive Member, Dist. Env. Society) Jagatsinghpur:

Supporting the project he said that IFFCO has always cooperated in developmental activities for up-gradation of environment related to plantation in different areas. He welcomed the project and stated that employment opportunity shall be generated by establishing of the new project. He requested Dist. Administration and IFFCO for peripheral development activities for school, hospital and other welfare institutions.

17. Shri Chitta Ranjan Swain, Ganaadhikanu:

He said that though environment is the first priority for existence of life but only employment matter is raised here. All industry should be accountable and responsible for environment. He questioned about attitude of people for not raising their voice for degradation of environment due to industrial discharge. He emphatically told that life is first then employment. NANO project of IFFCO will do no harm to people rather facilitate farmer like other technological advancement. Comparing between both the fertilizers he explained NANO fertilizer is a better product. He stated that environmental protection is everyone's responsibility. He also stated that gypsum can be used as soil conditioner.

18. Shri Utkal Ranjan Mohanty, Environmentalist, Jagatsinghpur:

He narrated the story behind of evolution of NANO fertilizer of IFFCO by its own R & D team. He told gypsum can be used in cement industries and mentioned difficulties of lifting and transport to distance places like Baragarh. He also narrated the advantage of NANO fertilizer over conventional fertilizer in terms of not altering soil quality as recipient is plant leaf not soil.

19. Shri Sarat Kumar Rout, Paradeep:

He narrated the purpose of public hearing and said that ground water of Paradeep is polluted and TC, FC content of Taladanda canal water is high and hence it is not fit for bathing and consumption purpose. He also stated that Paradeep is declared as Severely Polluted Area (SPA) and he requested to take steps to achieve plantation target. He said that where there is industry there will be expansion. He personally welcomed the project and told that Nano project has a visionary approach.

20. Shri Ratnakar Jena, Bijaychandrapur:

Supporting industrialization and thereby the project he said that industrialization is required for no development of our locality. We should welcome a new industry like we do on arrival of a new member in a family. India is a country dependent on agriculture and this project is for development in agricultural sector. He also told that industry creates employment and hence requested for language based reservation from own state, engagement of local labor, promoting odiya officers in the industry.

21. Dr. Ayashkant Roy, PardipNagarika Mancha, Jagatsinghpur:

Supporting the project he told that IFFCO has done a great job in agricultural sector. He narrated advantages of Nano fertilizer over conventional fertilizer in terms more productivity with lesser quantity consumption in more effective manner and restoration of soil quality in terms of fertility unlike increase in salinity by use of conventional fertilizer. He said that use of this fertilizer will cause faster growth of plants, won't cause chemical pollution and do not affect flora fauna.

22. Shri Sudhal Swain, Kujanga:

He supported the project and said that due to the project no significant level of environment pollution will occur. He demanded that employment should provide to the people of Nayagarh G.P only. He also stated that the surrounding people will be affected due to air pollution. He personally thanked for active involvement in social welfare activities of IFFCO. He also requested District administration and IFFCO to consider application of land looser or people directly affected by IFFCO. He requested to finalize site of public hearing a relatively nearer place from the project area for any up-coming project.

23. Shri Bishnu Charan Swain, Kujanga:

Supporting the project he supported the point raised by previous speaker regarding local employment. He said that Paradeep area people should be benefited from any new project. He requested district administration and other officials posted at Paradeep to take steps for overall development of Paradeep.

24. Shri Bimal Pattnayak, Nuagarh G.P:

Supporting the project he told that with establishment of new plant Socio-economic conditions will be improved. He also questioned about CSR and PR team of IFFCO whether they have ever visited any affected village to resolve their problem.

25. Shri Bikash Sahoo, Youth Foundation, Bijaychandpur:

He supported the project and told that industrialization is required in a backward State like Odisha. Welcoming this project of IFFCO, he told that farmers will be benefitted from this nano fertilizer. He demanded for local employment, utilization of CSR fund for development of people of nearby areas. He mentioned about the air pollution from IFFCO. He welcomed IFFCO's initiative for transportation of gypsum through pontoon jetty. He requested for more plantation in nearby areas where the people are most affected due to plant.

26. Shri Sudhir Ranjan Dash, Paradeep:

He told that this forum of Public hearing is for the interest of environment, not an employment exhibition. He proposed for placing of environmental display board at sea beach area, marine drive road instead of mounting at ADM Office, Paradeep for more public access. He also said that employment should be provided not only to local people but also to the people of the State.

27. Shri Kuamr Rout, Balidia Village, Nuagarh G.P:

He supported IFFCO for this NANO fertilizer project. He mentioned that the new project will take place within the existing boundary of IFFCO and with minimal pollution load. He also requested that public representative should not get any contract from the industry.

28. Shri Jaykrishna Mohapatra, Kandia Village:

He has registered his name in deliberation list, however remained absent during his turn for deliberation.

29. Shri Santosh Behera, Balijhara:

He told that IFFCO is doing its expansion NANO fertilizer within its existing premises without acquiring any additional land. The panel is related to air, water, soil and environment not for redressal of only employment issue. Supporting the project he requested social welfare programme conducted by IFFCO should be done

frequently. He also said that more care should be taken towards protecting water, air, soil, forest and it is the responsibility of the concerned village to take care of the survival of plantation done by the unit.

30. Shri Pramod Kumar Jena, Nuagarh G.P:

He said that IFFCO should adhere to guidelines of Govt. when it comes the matter of land losers. He demanded that preference to be given to Nuagarh GP during employment and peripheral development. He demanded for plantation in canal embankment. He also said that land losers should be trained first and then provided employment in the new plant. He urged on utilization of CSR fund in Jagatsinghpur district.

31. Shri Rama Chandra Swain, Paradeep:

He welcomed the expansion project of IFFCO to manufacture NANO fertilizer within its existing premises without acquiring any additional land. He said that youth would be benefited from this project.

32. Shri Narayan Das, Singitali:

He expressed his concern towards Mahanadi River pollution causing fish death due to contaminated effluent discharge from IFFCO. He also expressed his worries towards release of ammonia gas to the atmosphere. He demanded for preference to Nuagarh GP during employment and to establish a grievance cell by IFFCO for public redressal.

33. Shri Arakhita Das, Kothi:

He accused IFFCO for not taking adequate pollution control measures such as alkali scrubber and operation of ETP etc. and discharging untreated effluent to River Mahanadi costing aquatic life. He was concerned about releasing of ammonia to atmosphere. He demanded for third party monitoring for environmental parameters.

34. Shri Jyotiprasad Parida, Nunukua:

He has registered his name in deliberation list, however remained absent during his turn for deliberation.

35. Shri Jnanajit Swain, Vice Chairman, Kujang Block:

Supporting the project he requested for local employment and peripheral development.

36. Smt. Babita Sethy, Ex-Sarpanch, Nuagarh GP:

She thanked IFFCO for its active involvement in social welfare activities. Supporting the project she requested for employment of people of Nuagarh G.P.

37. Shri Dillip Kumar Barik, Jhimani:

He accused IFFCO for not taking any step for environment pollution. He informed that employment request is not considered when approached through employee union. He emphasized carry out more plantations.

38. Dr. Dhrutidhar Das, Lecturer CIPET:

Welcoming the project he said that there will not be release of any toxic substances to soil, air and aquatic environment due to this project.

39. Shri Prabira Kumar Swain, Pipal:

He said that IFFCO is not the only industry that pollute but the other industries also. Technological advancement causes more industry to setup for more benefit of human society, which causes more pollution also. He mentioned that ESSAR steel provided training to local engineer before providing them employment. Similar practice has to be followed IFFCO. Welcoming the NANO fertilizer project he requested to rehabilitate local people. He requested to open retailer shop/counter at IFFCO where fertilizer can be purchased directly in concessional price.

The Panel received 26 nos. of written representations of the local people demanding different facilities in the locality during the hearing.

Observation:

The overall opinion of the public about the proposed project was supportive. However, the local people have some aspirations as laid down in their deliberations.

Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep & representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha prepared the summary of the public hearing proceedings accurately reflecting the views and concerns expressed by the speakers with the inclusion of comments of the applicants, read over to the audience at the end of the proceedings explaining the contents in Odia language and the agreed minutes of public hearing meeting is prepared. The statement of issues and concerns of public with the comments of applicants, prepared in Odia and English language is annexed as per Annexure- I & II. List of participants is annexed as per Annexure- III.

The President of Public Hearing, Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Addl. District Magistrate, Paradeep ratified the minutes of meetings with the views and demands of public. He extended his vote of thanks to the public for rendering their co-operation for smooth operation of the public hearing.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair and the participants.

(Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir)
Additional District Magistrate
Paradeep

(Shri Puskar Chandra Behera)
Regional Officer
State Pollution Control Board,
Paradeep

ସଂଲଗ୍ନ- ୧

ଇଣ୍ଡିଆନ ଫାରମରସ୍ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର କୋପରେଟିଭ୍ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ଓଡିଶାର ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା, କୁଜଙ୍ଗ ତହସିଲ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ମୂଷାଡିଆ ଗ୍ରାମରେ ସୁରିନ୍ଦର ନାଥର ନଗର ସ୍ଥିତ ଇଫ୍‌କୋ ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ ୟୁନିଟ୍ (ଖାତା ନଂ ୨୯, ପ୍ଲଟ୍ ନଂ ୪୦୧ (ପ୍ରାଇଭେଟ୍) ଏବଂ ଖାତା ନଂ ୪୪, ପ୍ଲଟ୍ ନଂ ୪୦୦ (ପ୍ରାଇଭେଟ୍) ସ୍ଥିତ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପର ସମ୍ପ୍ରସାରଣ କରି ନାନୋ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର ଉତ୍ପାଦନ କରିବା ନିମନ୍ତେ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ତା ୧୪.୦୯.୨୦୨୨ ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦.୩୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ ସ୍ଥିତ କଲ୍ୟାଣ ମଣ୍ଡପ ସମ୍ମୁଖ ପଡିଆଠାରେ **ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଉତ୍ଥାପିତ** ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ ଓ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ।

ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା **ଉତ୍ଥାପିତପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ**	ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି
ଜନଶୁଣାଣିରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା **ଉତ୍ଥାପିତ** ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗମାନ ନିମ୍ନରେ ପ୍ରଦାନ କରାଗଲା	ଜନଶୁଣାଣି ଶିବିରରେ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତୃପକ୍ଷ ମେସର୍ସ ଇଫ୍‌କୋଙ୍କ ତରଫରୁ ଉପ ପ୍ରବନ୍ଧକ ଶ୍ରୀ ଦେବାଶୀଷ ମାହାନ୍ତି ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଥିଲେ ।
➢ ଜଳ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ	ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି କହିଥିଲଲେ ଯେ ପୁରୁଣା ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ପୋଖରି ର ଜଳକୁ ପ୍ରଥେମ ଗଡ ଖାଇ ନାଳ ଭିତରେ ରଖି ଏବଂ ପରବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ସ୍ତରରେ ସର୍ଜ ପଣ୍ଡରେ ରଖି ପ୍ଲାଣ୍ଟରେ ପୁନଃ ବ୍ୟବହାର ପାଇଁ ନିଆଯାଏ । ମହାନଦୀକୁ କୌଣସି ଜଳ ନିଷ୍କାସନ ହୁଏ ନାହିଁ । ନୂତନ ଉନ୍ନତ ପ୍ରଯୁକ୍ତି ଜ୍ଞାନ କୌଶଳ ବେବହାର କରି ଇଫକୋ ଦ୍ୱାରା ନିର୍ମିତ ନୁଆ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ପୋଖରିର ଭୂମିକୁ ଆଚ୍ଛାଦିତ

	କରାଯାଇ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ଗଚ୍ଛିତ ରଖାଯାଉଛି । ନୂଆ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ପୋଖରିର ଜଳକୁ ସର୍ଜ ପଣ୍ଡରେ ରଖି ପ୍ଲାଣ୍ଟରେ ପୁନଃ ବ୍ୟବହାର ପାଇଁ ନିଆଯାଉଛି ।
➤ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ସୁଯୋଗ	ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ନାନୋ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପରେ ୨୦୦ କର୍ମ ନିଯୁକ୍ତିର ସୁଯୋଗ ରହିଛି । ଏହି କର୍ମ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ସରକାରଙ୍କ ନୀତି ହିସାବରେ ଦିଆଯିବ ।
➤ ବୃକ୍ଷରୋପଣ	ଇଫକୋ ଦ୍ୱାରା ୨୯% ବୃକ୍ଷ ରୋପଣ କରାସରିଛି ।୨୦୨୨-୨୦୨୩ ବର୍ଷରେ ୩୩% ବୃକ୍ଷରୋପଣର ଲକ୍ଷ୍ୟ ରଖାଯାଇଛି ।
➤ ଜିପ୍‌ସମର ପରିଚାଳନା ଓ ନିଷ୍କାସନ	ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି ଜଣାଇଲେ ଯେ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ଗଦାପାଇଁ ଇଫକୋ ପାଖରେ ୫୩ ମିଟର ଉଚ୍ଚତା ଅନୁମତି ଥିବାବେଳେ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ଗଦା ର ଉଚ୍ଚତା ୫୧ ମିଟର ରହିଛି । ଜିପ୍‌ସମର ଗଦାର ଏହି ଉଚ୍ଚତା ରାସ୍ତା ପଟରୁ ରଖି ଜିପ୍‌ସମର ନିଷ୍କାସନ କାରଖାନା ପଟ ଗଦା ରୁ କରାଯାଉଛି । ସେ ଏହା ମଧ୍ୟ କହିଲେ ଯ ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ ବନ୍ଦରକୁ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ପରିବହନ ପାଇଁ ମହାନଦୀ କୂଳରେ ଇଫକୋ ନଦୀୟ ଘାଟ ଦ୍ୱାରା ବନ୍ଦରକୁ ନେଇ ବନ୍ଦରରୁ ରେଳବାଇ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ତାର ଗନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ସ୍ଥଳକୁ ପଠାଯାଉଛି । ସେ ଏହା ମଧ୍ୟ ଜଣାଇଲେ ଯେ ରେଳବାଇର ନବ ନିର୍ମିତ ସାଇଡିଙ୍ଗ ମାଧ୍ୟମରେ ଅଧିକରୁ ଅଧିକ ଜିପ୍‌ସମ ନିଷ୍କାସନ କରିବାର ଯୋଜନା ଅଛି ।

➢ ପାରିପାର୍ଶ୍ୱିକ ଅଞ୍ଚଳର ବିକାଶ	ସମନ୍ୱିତ ଗ୍ରାମ୍ୟ ଉନ୍ନୟନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକ୍ରମ ଅଧୀନରେ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରଶାସନ ସହ ମିଳିତ ଭାବେ ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ବିକାଶ ପାଇଁ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କରା ଯାଉଛି, କୋଭିଡ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧୀୟ ସ୍ୱାସ୍ଥ୍ୟ ସେବା, ଶିକ୍ଷା, ଗ୍ରମାଞ୍ଚଳରେ ସ୍ୱାସ୍ଥ୍ୟ ସେବା, ପ୍ରକୃତିକ ଦୁର୍ବିପାକ ସମୟରେ ସାହାଯ୍ୟ ସୁବିଧା ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିବା କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ଇଫ୍‌କୋ ତରଫରୁ କରାଯାଉଛି ।

ଡ. କାହ୍ନୁଚରଣ ଧୀର
ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ, ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ

ଶ୍ରୀ ପୁଷ୍କର ଚନ୍ଦ୍ର ବେହେରା
ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ
ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣବୋର୍ଡ,ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ

ANNEXURE-II

STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND DEMANDS RAISED BY THE PUBLIC AND COMMITMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPONENT, DURING THE PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 14.09.2022AT KALYANI MANDAP, PARADEEP, JAGATSINGHPUR WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF M/S FARMERS FERTILIZER COOPERATIVE LIMITED FOR EXPANSION OF EXISTING FERTILIZER PLANT FOR MANUFACTURING OF NANO-FERTILIZER AT IFFCO PARADEEP UNIT (KHATA NO. 29, PLOT NO.401 (P) & KHATA NO. 44 (PLOT NO. 400 (P)) AT SURINDER JAKHAR NAGAR, VILLAGE- MUSADIA UNDER KUJANG TAHASIL IN THE DISTRICT OF JAGATSINGHPUR FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE.

Issues raised by the Public	Commitment of the Project Proponent
The issues raised at the public hearing meeting are the following:	On behalf of Project proponent, Sri Debasish Mohanty, Deputy Manager (Environment & Pollution Control) of M/s IFFCO Limited in his reply to the issues raised during the public hearing stated as below:
➢ Water pollution Control	Sri Debasish Mohanty intimated that effluent from old Gypsum pond is collected trough garland drain to a surge pond and no discharge of waste water to river Mahanadi. He further said that in the recent past IFFCO has developed a new Gypsum pond adopting latest technology with proper liner and the Gypsum pond water is being collected in a surge pond for reuse in the plant.
➢ Employment	Sri Mohanty intimated that the proposed Nano fertilizer project has 200 employment opportunities and employment shall be provided following the Govt. policy. .
➢ Plantation	He informed that IFFCO has already achieved 29% of plantations. He committed that plantation target of 33% will be achieved in the year 2022-23.

➢ Gypsum Management and disposal	He intimated that Gypsum is disposed off from the Gypsum pond from the back side of the dump for selling to outside agency. Height of the gypsum pond is maintained as 51m where permission has been granted to stack up to height of 53 m. He also said that IFFCO has developed a Jetty on the bank of river Mahanadi for transportation of Gypsum to Paradeep port and further transportation of the same through rake from port to different destination. He also intimated that more Gypsum will be disposed off through newly constructed Railway siding in Paradeep area.
➢ Peripheral development	Sri Mohanty intimated that developmental activities are undertaken under Integrated Rural Development Programme of IFFCO in consultation with district administration for peripheral development in sectors like health, education, covid care and during natural calamity.

14/9/22

(Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir)
Addl. District Magistrate
Paradeep

14/09/22

(Shri Puskar Chandra Behera)
Regional Officer
State Pollution Control Board
Paradeep

1423

ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା

(ଜଙ୍ଗଲ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର)

ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠ ନଗର, ୟୁନିଟ୍-୮, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର-୭୫୧୦୧୨

ସଂଖ୍ୟା: ୧୩୫୧୦/IND-II-PH-1048 ତାରିଖ : ୦୧.୦୮.୨୦୨୨

CAD-1363

ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଏତଦ୍ଵାରା ସମସ୍ତଙ୍କ ଅବଗତି ନିମନ୍ତେ ଜଣାଇ ଦିଆଯାଉଅଛି ଯେ, ମେସର୍ସ ଇଣ୍ଡିଆନ ଫାରମରସ୍ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର କୋପରେଟିଭ୍ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା, କୁଜଙ୍ଗ ତହସିଲ, ପୋଷ୍ଟ- ପାରାଦୀପ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ମୁସାଡ଼ିଆ ଗ୍ରାମରେ ସୁରିନ୍ଦର କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ନଗରସ୍ଥିତ ଇଫ୍‌କୋ ପାରାଦୀପ ୟୁନିଟରେ (ଖାତା ନଂ ୨୯, ପ୍ଲଟ ନଂ ୪୦୧ (ପ୍ରାଇଭେଟ) ଏବଂ ୪୪, ପ୍ଲଟ ନଂ ୪୦୦୪ (ପ୍ରାଇଭେଟ) ସ୍ଥିତ ଫର୍ଟିଲାଇଜର ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପର ସମ୍ପ୍ରସାରଣ କରି ... ଉତ୍ପାଦନ କରିବା ନିମନ୍ତେ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ପରିବେଶ, ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଏବଂ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ମନ୍ତ୍ରାଳୟ ଦ୍ଵାରା ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରି ନିମନ୍ତେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ହେଉଥିବା ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି ନିମନ୍ତେ ଓଡ଼ିଶା ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡଙ୍କୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି। ଭାରତ ସରକାର ପରିବେଶ, ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଏବଂ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ମନ୍ତ୍ରାଳୟର ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରି ନିମନ୍ତେ ପ୍ରଜ୍ଞାପନ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏସ୍.ଓ. ୧୫୩୩(ଇ) ତା ୧୪.୦୯.୨୦୦୬ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ଵରକୁ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି କରିବାର କ୍ଷମତା ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଛନ୍ତି। ଏଥି ନିମନ୍ତେ ବୋର୍ଡ, ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ସମେତ ପ୍ରକୃତ ଅଧିବାସୀ, ପରିବେଶୀୟ ସଂସ୍ଥା ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନ ହେଉଥିବା ସ୍ଥାନରେ ଜନବସତି ... ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଯୋଗୁ ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନଙ୍କଠାରୁ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନ ଯୋଗୁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧୀୟ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ଓ ଆପତ୍ତି ପତ୍ର ଆହ୍ଵାନ କରୁଛନ୍ତି। ଏଥି ନିମନ୍ତେ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିର ଅର୍ଥ କେବଳ ନିମ୍ନମତେ ବୁଝାଯାଉଛି।

(କ) ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରି ଦ୍ଵାରା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ବା ହେବାକୁ ଥିବା ଯେ କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି।

(ଖ) ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧିତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରି ପାଇଁ ଆଗତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ପରିପ୍ରେକ୍ଷୀରେ ତହିଁ ଉପରେ କର୍ତ୍ତୃତ୍ଵ ଜାହିର କରିପାରୁଥିବା କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିବିଶେଷ।

(ଗ) ପରିବେଶ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କରୁଥିବା କିମ୍ବା/ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଦ୍ଵାରା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା (ପଞ୍ଜୀକୃତ ବା ଅଣପଞ୍ଜୀକୃତ) ଯେ କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ସମୂହଙ୍କ ସଂଘ।

(ଘ) ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପରେ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ସୀମାଭିତ୍ତି ନିକଟବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷ।

ଉପରୋକ୍ତ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ସେମାନଙ୍କର ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ଏବଂ ଆପତ୍ତି ଇତ୍ୟାଦିକୁ ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାଙ୍କୁ ଲିଖିତ ଆକାରରେ ଏହି ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ପ୍ରକାଶନର ୩୦ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରି ଡାକଯୋଗେ ଜଣାଇ ପାରିବେ। ଏହାଛଡ଼ା ଉକ୍ତ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଉପରେ ଲିଖିତ ବା ମୌଖିକ ଭାବେ ମତାମତ ଦେବା ପାଇଁ ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ତା.୧୪.୦୯.୨୦୨୨ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା, ପାରାଦୀପସ୍ଥିତ କଲ୍ୟାଣ ମଣ୍ଡପ ସମ୍ମୁଖ ପଡ଼ିଆରେ ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି ସମୟରେ ମଧ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନ କରିପାରିବେ।

ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ବିଷୟରେ ବିଶଦ ଭାବରେ ଜାଣିବା ପାଇଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀ (Environmental Impact Assessment) ଏବଂ ଏହାର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ସ୍ଥାନମାନଙ୍କରେ ମିଳିପାରିବ।

୧. ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର।

୨. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପ କେନ୍ଦ୍ର, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର।

୩. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର।

୪. ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠ ନଗର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ଵର-୭୫୧୦୧୨

୫. ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ପାରାଦୀପ।

୬. ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଓ ପରିବେଶ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ଵର।

ଏତଦ ବ୍ୟତୀତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ୱେବସାଇଟ www.ospcboard.orgର ମଧ୍ୟ ମିଳିପାରିବ।

ଏ ବିଷୟରେ ସବିଶେଷ ବିବରଣୀ ପାଇଁ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ପାରାଦୀପ ସ୍ଥିତ ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ କିମ୍ବା ଭୁବନେଶ୍ଵରସ୍ଥିତ ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟରେ ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବଙ୍କ ସହ ଯୋଗାଯୋଗ କରାଯାଇପାରେ।

ସା / - ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବ

OIPR-08012/11/0182/2223

ଧରିତ୍ରୀ ୧୪.୮.୨୨ ପୃଷ୍ଠା - ୮

1142-1

CAD-1362

STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, ODISHA

(Department of Forest, Environment & Climate Change, Govt. of Odisha)
Paribesh Bhawan, A/118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit-VIII, Bhubaneswar-751012

No. 13517/ IND-II-PH-1048 Date : 01.08.2022

NOTICE

It is brought to the notice of all concerned that **M/s Indian Farmers Fertilizer Cooperative Ltd.** has proposed to have Environmental Assessment for **expansion of existing fertilizer plant for manufacturing of Nano Fertilizer at IFFCO Paradeep unit [Khata No.29 (Plot No.401(p)) & 44 (Plot No.400 (p)) at Surinder Jakar Nagar, village-Musadia, PO-Paradeep, Tahsil-Kujang in the District of Jagatsinghpur** to obtain Environmental Clearance from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India. The proponent has applied to the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar for a Public Hearing.

By virtue of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1533 (E) Dtd. 14.09.2006, the Board has been authorized to conduct environmental public hearing and as such invites suggestions, views, comments and objections on matters relating to environmental aspects of the proposed project from all the persons including bonafide residents, environmental groups and others located at the proposed site/sites of displacement/sites likely to be affected.

For the above purpose, a person will only mean:

A. Any person who is likely to be affected by the grant of Environmental Clearance.

B. Any person who owns his control over the project with respect to which an application has been submitted for environmental clearance.

C. Any association of persons whether incorporated or likely to be affected by the project and / or functioning in the field of environment.

D. Any local authority within any part of whose local limits is within the neighborhood, wherein the project is proposed to be located.

Persons as above who desire to submit their views, comments, objections etc. relevant to the project, may do so in writing within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice addressing the same to the Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha through Registered Post. Besides this, persons interested to submit their views relevant to the proposed mining project in writing or orally may also do so during the public hearing to be conducted **on 14.09.2022 at 10.30 A.M at Land in front of Kalyan Mandap, Paradeep in Jagatsinghpur District.**

Persons desirous of participating in the public hearing may go through the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)/Environmental Management Plan (EMP) of the said project which will be available at the following offices. Copy of the Executive Summary both in English & Odia is also available in the following offices & the same can also be downloaded from the website **www.ospcboard.org** free of cost.

1. District Collector's Office, **Jagatsinghpur.**
2. District Industries Center, **Jagatsinghpur.**
3. In the office of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, **Jagatsinghpur.**
4. In the Head office of the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Parivesh Bhawan, A/118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit -VIII, Bhubaneswar -12.
5. Regional Office, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, **Paradeep.**
6. Department of Forest & Environment (Environment), Govt. of Odisha, Bhubaneswar.

For any further clarification in the matter, the **Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep** or the **Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha** at Bhubaneswar may be contacted.

Sd/-
MEMBER SECRETARY

OIPR - 08012/11/0179/22-23

The Times of India dt 5.8.22 P-11