PROCEEDINGS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 30.09.2022 (AT 10.30 AM) AT KALYANI MANDAP, PARADEEP, JAGATSINGHPUR WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF M/S ARCELORMITTAL NIPPON STEEL INDIA LIMITED FOR DEVELOPMENT OF CAPTIVE RIVERINE JETTY OF MATERIAL HANDLING CAPACITY OF 4.5 MTPA ON BANK OF RIVER MAHANADI LOCATED AT PARADEEP IN THE DISTRICT OF JAGATSINGHPUR FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE.

The public hearing in respect of the project proposed by M/s ArcelorMittal Nippon Steel India Limited for development of captive Riverine jetty of material handling capacity of 4.5 MTPA on bank of river Mahanadi located at Paradeep in the district of Jagatsinghpur was held on scheduled date & time with reference to:

I. EIA Notification S.O 1533 (E) Dtd. 14.09.2006 and Amendments thereafter.

The Panel consisted of the following members:

1. Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Additional District Magistrate, Paradeep
2. Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, Odisha

Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Addl. District Magistrate, Paradeep, supervised & presided over the public hearing process which was assisted by Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, the representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar.

At the outset, welcoming the members participated in the meeting, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep, gave a brief introduction about the guidelines to be followed for the public hearing in the light of EIA Notification, 2006 and interim operational guidelines till date, in respect of the project proposed by M/s AMNS India Limited.

Shri Behera explained that the views, comments, objections and opinions of the public are necessary while considering the environmental clearance of the project. He asked the project proponent to make a brief presentation before the public, gathered in the meeting about the various aspects of the proposed project to be undertaken for better awareness of the public. On behalf of the Project Proponent, Shri Akash Mohapatra, Head (Env.) addressed the committee members and assembled public, and made a brief presentation about the salient features of the proposed project, environmental management, pollution control measures, waste management and various other CSR activities envisaged in the proposed project.

Then Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep requested the public to give their views, comments, objections and opinions on the proposed project.

The views expressed by various speakers on the proposed project are as follows:

1. **Smt. Saudamini Swain, Pipal:**

   She supported the project and said that the new project will create more employment opportunity and requested to provide education facility and employment to the local people as per their eligibility.

2. **Sri Bimal Pattanayak, Nuagarh G.P:**

   He supported the project and said that the previous company M/s Essar Steel India (Now M/s AM/NS India Limited) had given highest employment to the local people.

3. **Shri Pramod Kumar Jena, Nuagarh G.P:**

   He supported the project saying that they had welcomed M/s Essar Steel India Limited and now also welcomes this project of M/s AMNS Limited.

4. **Shri Srikant Parida, President, Odisha Marine Fish Producers Association, Paradeep:**

   He expressed his concern towards movement of fishery trawlers across Mahanadi at Paradeep and livelihood of thousands of family basing on this fishery. He became apprehensive about smooth sailing of these fishery trawlers when there will be movement of barge in that area. He also mentioned a situation if ever any barge submerges and questioned that who will be accountable if such an incident happens. He opposed the project and said fishery shall be hampered in and around Paradeep. He showed his concern towards traffic scenario at sea mouth due to movement of barge in this proposed project.

5. **Sri Aurobinda Swain, General Secretary, Odisha Marine Fish Producers Association, Paradeep:**

   Though he did not oppose the proposed project he mentioned that there was no discussion made with their association about the proposed project proposal. He was apprehensive about the trawler association getting affected due to the project. He wanted to ensure that who will take the accountability of the situation if any barge submerges.This type of incident happened in the recent past two of the vessel get stuck in Mahanadi. He also mentioned that mangrove forest range will be affected and due to dredging Mahanadi river mouth will be silted which will ultimately affect movement of fishery trawlers and thereby fishing activities. He requested district administration and project proponent to discuss about the project in detail with their association.

6. **Shri Tapas Kumar Samal, Pipal:**

   He welcomed the riverine jetty project and stated that it will create job opportunities for local. He requested for employment of displaced people of the project.

7. Shri Minaketan Samantaray, Chakradharpur:

Supporting the project he said that M/s AMNS India Limited (formerly M/s Essar Steel India Limited) has provided employment to local people and doing welfare for local people.

8. Shri Pratap Kumar Samal, Paradeepgarh:

Welcoming proposed project, he said that this jetty project will cause development of nearby gram panchyats like Nuagada, Paradeepgarh, Bagadia and Biswali. He requested company authorities for hike the salary of casual workers and lateral entry engineers. He said that industrialization will cause development in an area. He has requested to provide employment to land losers.

9. Shri Bishnu Charan Swain, Naba Nirman Krushak Sangha, Kujanga:

He rendered his complete support to the project and stated that Kujang is being the direct and most affected block he demanded for permanent employment of Kujanga people.

10. Shri Pradeep Kumar Mohanty, Paradeepgarh:

He supported the project with condition of employment to local people of nearby gram panchayats and land losers.

11. Smt. Rajalaxmi Ray, Nuagarh:

She welcomed the project and thanked M/s AM/NS India Limited for providing employment opportunity to ladies. She also requested for local employment in different categories like skilled, semi skilled and unskilled.

12. Miss Manorama Khatua, KWP, Handia:

Supporting the project she said that views of the public should be taken. Care should be taken for Musadia village. She emphasized on precautionary measures to be taken to protect marine lives. She demanded for resurvey of land in Handia village and solve displacement issue, employment of youth unemployed youth of nearby areas and skill development of women. She also requested for letter communication to MOEF, CC, Govt. Of India for lifting of SPA tag for Paradeep.

13. Smt. Babita Sethy, Ex-sarpanch, Balidia:

Supporting the project she admired M/s AMNS India Limited (Formerly M/s ESSAR Steel India Limited) was always there to rescue of Nuagada G.P. people and provide them hand holding support. She emphasized on employment of land losers on priority basis.

14. Smt. Kanchanbala Majhi, Paradeepgarh:

She supported the project and requested for water supply to Musadia village and employment to local people on priority basis.

15.Shri Debendra Kumar Rout, Nuagada G.P.:

Supporting the project he said that a review meeting shall be conducted after 6 months to review the actions has been taken on views of public during this public hearing. He said that care should be taken to prevent environmental pollution. He was hopeful for good road network due to this upcoming project.

16.Smt. Minakshi Behera, Sandhakuda, Paradeep:

She questioned on developmental activities done in this Paradeep industrial area till date. She raised many issues regarding law and order situation of Paradeep due to ongoing project and operational activities of several industrial houses in Paradeep. She emphasized on youth employment, CSR activities and women empowerment if the project gets executed.

17.Shri Jagannath Haldar, Bijaychandpur, Nuagada G.P:

He supported the project and requested for CSR activities of the company to be done in their village by discussing with villagers. He basically demanded for repairing activities on village road and school and employment to village youth.

18.Shri Bhagaban Parida, Balidia:

Supporting the project whole heatedly, he requested for employment of people of Nuagada and Paradeepgarh G.P.

19. Smt. Annapurna Swain, Balidia:

Supporting the project she requested for employment of local land losers.

20.Shri Sudhir Raimohan, Bhutamundai:

He rendered his support to the proposed project.

21.Dr. Dhrutidhara Das, Paradeep:

He raised his concern on air borne dust caused due to existing plant and associated runoff during rain fall. He requested for developmental activities by the company for village school, cattle farm, health check up and employment of villagers. He emphasized on tree plantation.

22.Shri Satya Prakash Samal, Handia:

Though he supported the project, he expressed his displeasure for non-fulfilling the previous commitment made by the project proponent. He demanded rehabilitation of displaced people in the same G.P. He questioned about the development done by the company. He demanded permanent employment and good education facility for villagers.

23.Mrs. Satyabhaama Tarai, Handia:

She requested to the district administration to help in her personal problems.

24.Shri Sudhal Kumar Swain, Nuagarh:

He supported the project and demanded for resettlement of displaced people in the same G.P, super specialty hospital at Paradeep and permanent employment to local villagers.

25.Smt Sasmit Swain, Nuagarh G.P:

Supporting the project she demanded for employment of Kujang people as per eligibility. She also demanded for water supply to agricultural feeds. She requested for development in school and hospital.

26. Shri Tapas Swain, Handia:

Though he supported the project he expressed his objection regarding location of public hearing. He wanted written commitments whether Handia people are displaced or not. He demanded resettlement of Handia people in the same G.P if there will be any displacement. He requested for use of advance technology for control of environmental pollution.

27. Shri Ramakanta, Handia:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

28.Shri Mrutunjaya Behera, Paradeepgarh:

Supporting the project he told that maximum local employment has been done by M/s Essar Steel India Limited (Now M/s AM/NS India Limited) and this new project will create new employment opportunities.

29. Shri Dilip Balirsingh, Atharbanki:

He addressed the dust problem of Paradeep at Atharbanki area and alleged that company authority remain salient to their complained regarding dust. He expressed his concerned about air pollution and permanent employment.

30.Shri Arabinda Jena, Handia:

He did not oppose the project and demanded employment of local people and told that location of public hearing should be at Panchhyat.

31.Shri Chandramani Nayak, Nuagarh:

Supporting the project he told that up-coming project will create employment and demanded for health care facility. He also demanded that resettlement of people should be made in the same GP if there will be any displacement.

32.Mrs Janaki Maharatha, Balidia:

She demanded employment of local people.

33. Shri Dharanidhar Swain, Handia:

Supporting the project, he demanded for direct employment of land looser, development of basic amenities of villages, support to SHG, village road repair and establishment of institute for skill development.

34. Shri Utkal Ranjan Mohanty, Jagatsinghpur:

He supported the project and stated that transportation through water ways shall reduce dust pollution on the road.

35. Mrs. Salendri Senapati, Paradeep:

Supporting the project she told that air pollution will minimize if there will be transportation of cargo through water-ways. She also demanded local employment. She requested to focus on women employment and providing support to SHG group.

36. Ms. Soudamini Pattnaik, Balidia:

Supporting the proposed project she requested for development of their GP and demanded hospital facility for local.

37. Shri Tophan Swain, Handia:

Supporting the project he said that transportation of cargo through water-ways will not cause environmental pollution. He also said that there will be more employment opportunity with operation of this riverine project.

38. Shri Baburam Choudhary, Singitali:

He demanded for a super specialty hospital and stated that pollution control measure should be taken by the project proponent.

39. Shri Narayan Choudhary, President Odisha, MFO:

He was concerned about the environmental issues related to water and air pollution shall be caused due to establishment of the jetty.

40. Shri Bijaya Mohanty, Paradeep:

He raised his concern about movement of barge in Mahanadi during low tide. He was concerned about livelihood of Jagatsinghpur & Kendrapara fishermen.

41. SK. Basir Ali, Paradeep:

Though he supported the project, he questioned about employment provided by industry and demanded that job should be provided to every single family of land losers.

42.Smt. Banalata Samal, Paradeep:

Though she put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during her turn.

43.Dr. Ayaskant Ray, Paradeep Nagarika Mancha:

Supporting the project he told that this project will not cause any problem to the surrounding environment. He also stated that transportation of cargo through water-ways will not cause environmental pollution.

44.Shri Kumar Rout, Balidia:

He welcomed the project and demanded for public survey regarding the project. He complained of Nuagarh GP not getting enough water supply. He requested water supply and 24 hour electricity supply to Nuagarh GP. He said that no permanent employment given to any villager of Balidia. He supported the project expected its quick execution.

45.Shri Prakash Pradhan, Singitali:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

46. Shri Fakir Rout, Balidia:

He welcomed the project and requested the resettlement of people within the same GP if any displacement occurs. He requested medical facility and smart class room for villagers.

47. Shri Arakhita Das, Kothi:

He expressed his concern of rural development and plantation. He requested company authority to take care of environment and utilize CSR fund to peripheral development and requested a meeting to be conducted in every six month regarding status verification of such developments. He demanded permanent employment for displaced people.

48.Shri Sanjaya Kumar Swain, Bhudamundai:

He said that though the unit facilitated some issues however many activities are not been undertaken under CSR.

49.Shri Dhirendra Samal, Pipal:

Supporting the project he said that dredging at river Mahanadi will prevent flooding.

50.Shri Debabrata Sahoo, Paradeep:

He addressed air pollution problem in Atharbanki-Paradeep area due to iron ore dust and affected paddy cultivation in Kendrapara district. He was concerned for air pollution in surrounding area.

51.Shri Deepak Ranarahu, Chhanda:

Supporting the project he was hopeful for employment due to this project and said the project shall not create any pollution related problems.

52.Shri Ranjit Patra, Bhimanasi:

He expressed his concern about unemployment.

53. Shri JitunaTarai, Handia:

He questioned on educational facility provided in the village and he also praised sanitation facility provided at the school by the company. He requested deployment of teacher in the school by the company, peripheral development and employment in skilled and semi-skilled category.

54. Shri Trilochan Jena , Nuagarh:

Supporting the project he praised mobile health care facility provided by the company and demanded super specialty hospital at Paradeep.

55.Shri Debanta Jena, Singhitali:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

56. Shri Nibrubahan Dash, Media Correspondent, Digant, Jagatsinghpur:

He said that companies don't stick to their commitment usually however, he supported the project.

57.Shri Amrut Das, Advisior Paradeep Krusak Mancha, Paradeep:

He said that industry cannot be established at the cost of agriculture. As the industry and the agricultural is both side of the coin. He supported the project and also agreed to the points raised by people by Handia and requested redressal of those problems by the company with direct discussion of the villagers.

58.Shri Akshya Kumar Kar, Paradeep Krusaka Manch, Paradeep:

He supported the project and demanded employment of local people from every family.

59.Shri Nirakara Roy, Nuagarh G.P:

He also addressed the employment problem.

60.Shri Kumar Behera, ParadeepGarh, Paradeep:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

61.Shri Deepak Swain, Balijhara:

He said that Paradeep is an industrial city and it is the responsibility of every industry to work for protection environment and plantation. He raised objection on contractual employment made by the companies with very nominal salary. A report on plantation and local employment provided by industry should be presented in media. He also said that company should follow rules and guidelines framed by Government.

62. Ms. Lova Behera, Sukhuakhala, Paradeep:

Supporting the project she requested for construction of wooden foot over bridge over Taladanda canal.

63.Shri Chinmay Nath, Paradeepgarh:

He told that around 1500 people of Paradeepgarh and Nuagarh are landless. He questioned on district administration about action taken for such landless people who are supposed to be displaced.

64.Smt. B Satyabati, Musadia:

She supported the project and requested for redressal of water logging problem in Musadia village.

65. Shri Ashok Rout, Handia:

He accused company of cheating them without providing employment in 2012 despite imparting industrial training.

66. Shri Sampad Sahu, Bijaychandpur, Handia:

Though he supported the project he showed his concerned about rights of displaced people and air pollution problem of Paradeep.

67. Shri Pramod Samantroy, Bhimanasi:

He questioned on the benefit of Bhimanasi people out of this project.

68.Miss Niva Sarkar, Musadia:

Though she put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during her turn.

69.Shri Pabitra Swain, Handia:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

70.Shri Raju Samal, Gorodi:

He requested to solve the problem of storm water discharge passage through their village.

71. Shri Narayan Das, Singhitali:

He supported the project and informed that M/s ESSAR Steel India Limited (Now M/s AM/NS India Limited) is the only company who provided highest compensation.

72.Shri Susanta Mohanty, Handia:

Supporting the project he said that care should be taken to prevent environmental pollution.

73.Shri Uchhaba Chandra Rout, Balidia:

Though he put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during his turn.

74. Smt. Sebati Swain, Handia:

Being land looser she requested the company to provide shelter and hand holding support to SHG group run by the village women.

75.Smt. Archana Baidya, Musadia:

Though she put his signature in the deliberation list but remain absent during her turn.

76.Shri Ranjan Swain, Handia:

Though he supported the project but expressed his frustration for not getting enough increment despite being employed since 2008.

77.Shri Chitrasen Ray, Paradeep Krushak Mancha:

He supported the project and said that company authority has taken exemplary step towards providing health care facility.

78. Shri Sawadhir Behera, Goradi:

He supported the project and demanded for local employment and peripheral development.

The Panel received **68 nos.** of written representations of the local people demanding different facilities in the locality during the hearing.

(17)

Observation:

The overall opinion of the public about the proposed project was favorable. However, the local people have some aspirations as laid down in their deliberations.

Shri Puskar Chandra Behera, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep & representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha prepared the summary of the public hearing proceedings accurately reflecting the views and concerns expressed by the speakers with the inclusion of comments of the applicants, read over to the audience at the end of the proceedings explaining the contents in Odia language and the agreed minutes of public hearing meeting is prepared. The statement of issues and concerns of public with the comments of applicants, prepared in Odia and English language is annexed as per Annexure- I & II. List of participants is annexed as per Annexure- III.

The President of Public Hearing, Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir, Addl. District Magistrate, Paradeep ratified the minutes of meetings with the views and demands of public. He extended his vote of thanks to the public for rendering their co-operation for smooth operation of the public hearing.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair and the participants.

(Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir)
Additional District Magistrate,
Paradeep

(Sri Puskar Chandra Behera)
Regional Officer
State Pollution Control Board,
Paradeep

ସଂଲଗ୍ନ- ୧

ମେସର୍ସ ଆର୍ସଲରମିତ୍ତଲ୍ ନିପ୍ପନ୍ ଷ୍ଟିଲ୍ ଇଣ୍ଡିଆ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ଓଡିଶାର ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ ଠାରେ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ ମହାନଦୀ ଉପରେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ୪.୫ ନିୟୁତ ଟନ୍‌ର ସାମଗ୍ରୀ ପରିଚାଳନା ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ କ୍ଷମତା ବିଶିଷ୍ଟ ନିଜସ୍ୱ ନଦୀୟ ଘାଟର ବିକାଶ କରିବା ପାଇଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ତା୩୦.୦୯.୨୦୨୨ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦.୩୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ ସ୍ଥିତ କଲ୍ୟାଣ ମଣ୍ଡପ ସମ୍ମୁଖ ପଡିଆଠାରେ ଅନୁଷ୍ଠିତ ଜନଶୁଣାଣିରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା **ଉତ୍ଥାପିତ ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ ଓ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ।**

ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା **ଉତ୍ଥାପିତ ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ**	ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷଙ୍କ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି
ଜନଶୁଣାଣିରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା **ଉତ୍ଥାପିତ** ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗମାନ ନିମ୍ନରେ ପ୍ରଦାନ କରାଗଲା	ଜନଶୁଣାଣି ଶିବିରରେ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷ ମେସର୍ସ ଆର୍ସଲରମିତ୍ତଲ୍ ନିପ୍ପନ୍ ଷ୍ଟିଲ୍ ଇଣ୍ଡିଆ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ତରଫରୁ ଯୁଗ୍ମ ମହା ପ୍ରବନ୍ଧକ ଶ୍ରୀ ପ୍ରଫୁଲ କୁମାର ମହାନ୍ତି ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଥିଲେ ।
➢ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ବାସିନ୍ଦାଙ୍କୁ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି	ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି କହିଥିଲେ ଯେ ଆର୍ସଲରମିତ୍ତଲ୍ ନିପ୍ପନ୍ ଷ୍ଟିଲ୍ ଇଣ୍ଡିଆ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଦ୍ୱାରା ସବୁଠାରୁ ଅଧିକ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ୯୩.୨୫% କରାଯାଇଛି । କେନ୍ଦ୍ର ସରକାରଙ୍କ ୨୦୦୯ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଦେଶାବଳୀ ମୁତାବକ ଅଣକୁଶଳୀ ଶ୍ରେଣୀରେ ୯୦%, କୁଶଳୀ ଓ ଅର୍ଦ୍ଧକୁଶଳୀ ଶ୍ରେଣୀରେ ୬୦% ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ବାସିନ୍ଦାଙ୍କୁ ଦିଆଯିବା ଉଚିତ୍ । ଏ.ଏ ମ.ଏନ.ଏସ. ଏହି ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ସର୍ବାଧିକ ୯୮% ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଛି । ଆଗାମୀ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପରେ ପ୍ରତି ପରିବାରରୁ ଜଣଙ୍କୁ ନିଯୁକ୍ତି ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିବାର ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି ଦେଇଥିଲେ ।

➤ ସି.ଏସ.ଆର. କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ପାରିପାର୍ଶ୍ୱିକ ଉନ୍ନତି	ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ଦେଇ କହିଥିଲେ ଯେ ଆଖପାଖ ଗ୍ରାମରେ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତି କରଣ, ପାନୀୟ ଜଳ ଯୋଗାଣ ଏବଂ ଭ୍ରାମ୍ୟମାଣ ସ୍ୱାସ୍ଥ୍ୟ ସେବା ପ୍ରଦାନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକୁ ତ୍ୱରାନ୍ୱିତ କରାଯିବ ।
• ସ୍ୱାସ୍ଥ୍ୟ ସେବା	ସେ ଏହା ମଧ୍ୟ ପ୍ରତିଶୃତି ଦେଇଥିଲେ ଯେ ପରାଦ୍ୱୀପରେ ଏକ ୨୦୦ ଶଯ୍ୟା ବିଶିଷ୍ଟ ବହୁବିଧ ଉତ୍ତମ ବିଶେଷତା ସମ୍ପନ୍ନ ଡାକ୍ତରଖାନା ପ୍ରତିଷ୍ଠା କରାଯିବ ଯାହା ପାଇଁ ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରଶାସନକୁ ଜମି ଯୋଗାଣ ନିମନ୍ତେ ନିବେଦନ କରାଯାଇଛି ଏବଂ ସେହି ଜମିର ଚିହ୍ନଟ ସରିଛି ।
• ଶିକ୍ଷା କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ ଉନ୍ନତି	ଶ୍ରୀ ମହାନ୍ତି ଜଣାଇଲେ ଯେ ନିକଟ ଭବିଷ୍ୟତରେ ଏକ ଡି.ଏ.ଭି ସ୍କୁଲ ପ୍ରତିଷ୍ଠାର ଯୋଜନା ହୋଇଛି ଯେଉଁଠି କମ୍ପାନି କର୍ମଚାରୀଙ୍କ ପିଲାମାନଙ୍କ ସମେତ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ପିଲା ମାନେ ମଧ୍ୟ ଶିକ୍ଷା ପ୍ରାପ୍ତ କରିପାରିବେ ।

ଡ. କାହ୍ନୁ ଚରଣ ଧୀର
ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ, ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ

ଶ୍ରୀ ପୁଷ୍କର ଚନ୍ଦ୍ର ବେହେରା
ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ
ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ,ପାରାଦ୍ୱୀପ

(37)

ANNEXURE-II

STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND DEMANDS RAISED BY THE PUBLIC AND COMMITMENT OF THE PROJECT PROPONENT, DURING THE PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 30.09.2022 AT LAND INFRONT OF KALYAN MANDAP, PARADEEP, JAGATSINGHPUR WITH RESPECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF M/S ARCELOR MITTAL NIPPON STEEL INDIA LIMITED FOR DEVELOPMENT OF CAPTIVE RIVERINE JETTY OF MATERIAL HANDLING CAPACITY OF 4.5 MTPA ON BANK OF RIVER MAHANADI LOCATED AT PARADEEP IN THE DISTRICT OF JAGATSINGHPUR FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE.

Issues raised by Public	Commitment of the Project Proponent
The issues raised at the public hearing meeting are the following:	On behalf of Project proponent, Sri Prafulla Kumar Mohanty, Joint General Manager, Corporate Affairs of M/s AMNS India Ltd. in his reply to the issues raised during the public hearing stated as below:
➢ Employment to local people	Sri Mohanty intimated that Arcelor Mittal Nippon Steel India Ltd. has provided maximum local employment of 93.25%. As per Govt. of India guidelines 2009, 90% of unskilled employment is to be done for local people and the same is 60% for semi-skilled and skilled category. AMNS has provided 98% employment in unskilled category. The company is committed for maximum employment from every family for the up-coming project.
➢ Peripheral development in CSR activity	Sri Mohanty committed that there will be electrification to nearby villages, provision of drinking water supply and mobile health care facility will be ensured.
• Health care facility	He also informed that the company has proposed 200 bedded multi-specialty hospital and requested district administration for providing land which has already been identified by district administration.
• Development in education sector	He also informed that a D.A.V school is proposed to be setup in the area not only for children of AMNS employee but also for local people. Besides, a significant investment is proposed for development of education sector in the area.

30/09/22

(Dr. Kahnu Charan Dhir)
Addl. District Magistrate
Paradeep

30/09/22

(Shri Puskar Chandra Behera)
Regional Officer
State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep

Indian Express. 26/08/2022

STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, ODISHA

(Department of Forest, Environment & Climate Change, Govt. of Odisha)
Paribesh Bhawan, A/118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit-VIII, Bhubaneswar – 751012

No. 15074 / IND-II-PH-1045 Date: 23.08.2022

NOTICE

CAD-1621

It is brought to the notice of all concerned that M/s ArcelorMittal Nippon Steel India Ltd. has proposed to have Environmental Assessment for Development of captive riverine jetty of material handling capacity of 4.5 MTPA on the bank of River Mahanadi located at Paradeep in the District of Jagatsinghpur to obtain Environmental Clearance from Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Govt. of India. The proponent has applied to the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar for a Public Hearing.

By virtue of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1533 (E) Dtd. 14.09.2006, the Board has been authorized to conduct environmental public hearing and as such invites suggestions, views, comments and objections on matters relating to environmental aspects of the proposed project from all the persons including bonafide residents, environmental groups and others located at the proposed site / sites of displacement / sites likely to be affected.

For the above purpose, a person will only mean:

A. Any person who is likely to be affected by the grant of Environmental Clearance.
B. Any person who owns his control over the project with respect to which an application has been submitted for environmental clearance.
C. Any association of persons whether incorporated or likely to be affected by the project and / or functioning in the field of environment.
D. Any local authority within any part of whose local limits is within the neighborhood wherein the project is proposed to be located.

Persons as above who desire to submit their views, comments, objections etc. relevant to the project, may do so in writing within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice addressing the same to the Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha through Registered Post. Besides this, persons interested to submit their views relevant to the proposed mining project in writing or orally may also do so during the public hearing to be conducted on 30-09-2022 at 10.30 A.M at Land in front of Kalyan Mandap, Paradeep in Jagatsinghpur District.

Persons desirous of participating in the public hearing may go through the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) /Environmental Management Plan (EMP) of the said project which will be available at the following offices. Copy of the Executive Summary both in English & Odia is also available in the following offices & the same can also be downloaded from the website www.ospcboard.org free of cost.

1. District Collector's Office, Jagatsinghpur.
2. District Industries Center, Jagatsinghpur
3. In the office of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Jagatsinghpur.
4. In the Head office of the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Parivesh Bhawan, A/118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit – VIII, Bhubaneswar -12
5. Regional Office, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Paradeep.
6. Department of Forest & Environment (Environment), Govt. of Odisha, Bhubaneswar

For any further clarification in the matter, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Paradeep or the Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha at Bhubaneswar may be contacted.

OIPR-08012/11/0230/2223

Sd/- MEMBER SECRETARY

Cancelled: 28/08/2022

ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶା

(ଜଙ୍ଗଲ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର)

ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠନଗର, ୟୁନିଟ୍-୮, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର-୭୫୧୦୧୨

ସଂଖ୍ୟା:୧୫୦୬୫/IND-II-PH-1045 ତାରିଖ : ୨୩.୦୮.୨୦୨୨

ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

CAD-1622: ଏତଦ୍ଦ୍ୱାରା ସମସ୍ତଙ୍କ ଅବଗତି ନିମନ୍ତେ ଜଣାଇ ଦିଆଯାଉଅଛି ଯେ ମେସର୍ସ ଆର୍ସେଲରମିତଲ ନିପ୍ପନ୍ ଷ୍ଟିଲ ଇଣ୍ଡିଆ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ପାରାଦୀପ ଠାରେ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ ମହାନଦୀ ଉପରେ ବାର୍ଷିକ ୪.୫ ନିଯୁତ ଟନ୍‌ର ସାମଗ୍ରୀ ପରିଚାଳନା ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ କ୍ଷମତା ବିଶିଷ୍ଟ ଏକ ନିଜସ୍ୱ ନଦୀୟ ଘାଟ (Captive Riverine Jetty) ର ବିକାଶ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଭାରତ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ପରିବେଶ, ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଏବଂ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ମନ୍ତ୍ରଣାଳୟ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ପବ୍ଲୁଅିବା ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଓଡ଼ିଶା ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼କୁ ଆବେଦନ କରିଛନ୍ତି।

ଭାରତ ସରକାର ପରିବେଶ, ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଏବଂ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ମନ୍ତ୍ରଣାଳୟର ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଅଧୀସୂଚନା ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏସ୍. ଓ. ୧୫୩୩(ଇ), ତା.୧୪.୦୯.୨୦୦୬ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱରଙ୍କୁ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି କରିବାର କ୍ଷମତା ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଛନ୍ତି। ଏଥି ନିମନ୍ତେ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ସମେତ ପ୍ରକୃତ ଅଧୀବାସୀ, ପରିବେଶୀୟ ସଂସ୍ଥା ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନ ହେଉଥିବା ସ୍ଥାନରେ ଜନବର୍ଗ, ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଯୋଗୁଁ ଉଚ୍ଛେଦ ହେଉଥିବା ବାସିନ୍ଦା, ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଯୋଗୁଁ ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ବାସିନ୍ଦାମାନଙ୍କ ଠାରୁ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନ ଯୋଗୁଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧୀୟ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ଓ ଆପତି ପତ୍ର ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରୁଛନ୍ତି। ଏଥି ନିମନ୍ତେ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିର ଅର୍ଥ କେବଳ ନିମ୍ନ ମତେ ବୁଝାଯାଉଛି:

(କ) ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା କି ହେବାକୁ ପଡ଼ିଥିବା ଯେ କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି। (ଖ) ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧିତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ପାଇଁ ଆଗତ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ପରିପ୍ରେକ୍ଷୀରେ ତଦ୍ଦ ଉପରେ କର୍ତ୍ତୃତ୍ୱ ଜାହିର କରିପାରୁଥିବା କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ବିଶେଷ। (ଗ) ପରିବେଶ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କରୁଥିବା କିମ୍ବା / ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା (ପଞ୍ଜିକୃତ ବା ଅଣପଞ୍ଜିକୃତ) ଯେ କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ସମୂହଙ୍କ ସଂଘ। (ଘ) ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପର ସ୍ଥାନୀୟସୀମା ଭୁକ୍ତ ନିକଟବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ଯେ କୌଣସି ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷ।

ଉପରୋକ୍ତ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ସେମାନଙ୍କର ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ଏବଂ ଆପତ୍ତି ଇତ୍ୟାଦିକୁ ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶାଙ୍କୁ ଲିଖିତ ଆକାରରେ ଏହି ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ପ୍ରକାଶନର ୩୦ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ରେଜିଷ୍ଟ୍ରି ଡାକଯୋଗେ ଜଣାଇ ପାରିବେ। ଏହାଛଡ଼ା ଉକ୍ତ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଉପରେ ଲିଖିତ ବା ମୌଖିକ ଭାବେ ମତାମତ ଦେବା ପାଇଁ ଇଚ୍ଛୁକ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ତା.୩୦.୦୯.୨୦୨୨ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦:୩୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ପାରାଦୀପ ସ୍ଥିତ କଲ୍ୟାଣ ମଣ୍ଡପ ସମ୍ମୁଖ ଜମି ଠାରେ ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣୀ ସମୟରେ ମଧ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନ କରିପାରିବେ।

ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ବିଷୟରେ ବିଶଦ ଭାବରେ ଜାଣିବା ପାଇଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀ (Environmental Impact Assessment) ଏବଂ ଏହାର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ସ୍ଥାନମାନଙ୍କରେ ମିଳିପାରିବ।

୧. ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର। ୨. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପକେନ୍ଦ୍ର, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର। ୩. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜଗତସିଂହପୁର। ୪. ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠ ନଗର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର-୭୫୧ ୦୧୨। ୫. ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ପାରାଦୀପ। ୬. ଜଙ୍ଗଲ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର।

ଏତଦ୍ ବ୍ୟତୀତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ୱେବସାଇଟ www.ospcboard.org ରୁ ମଧ୍ୟ ମିଳିପାରିବ।

ଏ ବିଷୟରେ ସବିଶେଷ ବିବରଣୀ ପାଇଁ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ଼, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ପାରାଦୀପ ସ୍ଥିତ ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ କିମ୍ବା ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର ସ୍ଥିତ ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟରେ ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବଙ୍କ ସହ ଯୋଗାଯୋଗ କରାଯାଇପାରେ।

OIPR 08012/11/0231/2223 ସ୍ୱା/- ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବ