PROCEEDINGS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING MEETING CONDUCTED ON 22.11.2022 AT 11:00AM ATTUMUSINGA PLAYGROUND, VILLAGE – TUMISINGA, TAHASIL - KAMAKHYANAGAR IN THE DISTRICT OF DHENKANAL, IN RESPECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT PROPOSED BY SHRI MANORANJAN PATRO, LESSEE FOR TUMUSINGASAND QUARRY OVER AN AREA OF 5.06HA AT/MOUZA – TUMUSINGA, TAHASIL- KAMAKHYANAGAR OF DHENKANAL DISTRICT

Public hearing of project proposed by Shri Manoranjan Patro, Lessee for Tumusinga Sand Quarry over Plot No.10154, Khata No.886 over an Area of 12.50 Acres or 5.06 Ha At/Mouza – Tumusinga, Tahasil – Kamakhyanagar of Dhenkanal District was conducted on dtd. 22nd November 2022 at 11:00 AM at the scheduled location at Tumusinga Playground of Tumusinga Village in the District of Dhenkanal as per EIA Notification S.O 1533 (E) Dtd. 14-09-2006.

The panel consisted of following members:

- Shri Udaya Kumar Mohapatra OAS(S), Additional District Magistrate (Revenue),
 Dhenkanal- Representative of the District Magistrate & Collector, Dhenkanal
 District
- Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick, Regional Officer, SPC Board, Angul- Representative of State pollution Control Board, Odisha.

Shri Udaya Kumar Mohapatra OAS (S), Additional District Magistrate (Revenue), Dhenkanal District, supervised and presided over the meeting and was assisted by Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick, Regional officer, State Pollution Control Board, Angul and the representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar.

At the outset Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick, Regional Officer, SPC Board, Angul welcome the other panel member, representative of the proponent and the public, gave an introduction about the guidelines to be followed for the public hearing in the light of EIA Notifications 2006 in respect of Environmental impact assessment of project proposed by Shri Manoranjan Patro, Lessee for Tumusinga Sand Quarry, over Plot No.10154, Khata No.886 over an Area of 5.06ha At/Mouza – Tumusinga, Tahasil – Kamakhyanagar of Dhenkanal District.

Shri Santosh Kumar Sahoo, Consultant, M/s. Parivesh Environmental Engineering Services on behalf of the proponent addressed the committee members and assembled public and gave a brief description about the proposed project. He also briefed about for Tumusinga Sand Quarry over an Area of 5.06ha At/Mouza — Tumusinga, Tahasil —

19.00

Kamakhyanagar in the District of Dhenkanal. He also briefed about the peripheral development work that had been taken up in this area, and made a presentation about the environmental management, pollution control measures, solid waste-management of the related project. The copy of the statement of the industry read out at public hearing proceedings is enclosed.

As per EIA Notification S.O 1533 (E) Dtd. 14-09-2006, notice for public hearing of Tumusinga Sand Quarry over an Area of 5.06ha At/Mouza — Tumusinga, Tahasil — Kamakhyanagar in the District of Dhenkanal was publicized at Tumusinga Gram Panchayat office notice Board, BDO Office at Kamakhyanagar, Zilla Parisad Office (Zone-8), Srimula, Tahasil office notice board, Kamakhyanagar, Sub Collector, Kamakhyanagar Office and Tumusinga village. The notice for public hearing was also was published in Odia Daily and English daily and displayed in the portal of SPCB website www.ospcboard.org for public information and comments.

Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Regional Office, Angul & representative of State Pollution Control Board Odisha then invited the public to present to present their views about the proposed project on environmental consideration.

The views expressed by various speakers are as follows:

Sri Salil Kumar Naik, President, Tumusinga village

He welcomed the gathering and deliberated that there will be many problems due to sand quarry because there is no separate road to the quarry except road through village. However, a separate road by passing village is there which may be used for sand transportation after its repairment. He said whatever the consultant said about pollution control measures and other rules should actually be followed. Government should take care of local people and not only see the quarry as revenue generating source. If quarry operates it will cause many issues including pollution as village road is very narrow through which heavy vehicles couldn't move. We will not allow sand transportation through village road.

2 Shri Pramod Prasad Nanda, Tumusinga village

He said whatever the village president said about problems is very true. Road through village is 1.5km long out of which 750m is well maintained but rest 750m is in bad condition which cannot be used for sand transportation even after concreting. He

1262

requested to submit signed copy of terms & conditions stated by the consultant w.r.t. mining methods, pollution control measures, CSR activities, etc. to the village president so that they can register their grievance if any conditions not fulfilled and protect their rights. If alternate route is used and sand transported after proper tarpaulin covering then they have no objection.

3 Shri Gopabandu Nayak, Tumusinga village

He said that vehicles couldn't move through their village. He supported the previous speakers. Alternate road, by-passing village, shall be used for sand transportation after its development so that environment of village couldn't be damaged. Villageroad shall not be used for sand transportation at any cost. He asked to develop the existing village road from river bank to their village for welfare of the local villagers. This road is used for various purposes such as agriculture. He asked to increase CSR fund from 2% to 10% for development of the village, 2% is negligible for development work.

4 Shri Minaketan Nayak, Ex-Sarpanch, Tumusinga village

Heexpressed that proposed quarry is not suitable for sand extraction as there is no approach road to the quarry. Existing road to quarry passes through village crematory therefore it couldn't be used. Heavy vehicles cannot pass through narrow village road as it is meant for only light vehicles and we will not allow such vehicles to pass through it. If alternate road is used for sand transportation after its development for use of heavy vehicle then we could think about allowing the project. CSR fund should be increase to 10% per year and it shall be deposited to village fund directly at the onset of financial year in the month of April. At the end he opposed the proposed project.

5 Shri Pradyumna Kumar Behera, Srimula village

He welcomed the panel members & villagersand asked that how the local people will be benefitted from the project? On what rate one poor person will get the sand for its house construction or for contrition of Indira Awas house?

6 Shri Dikshit Priyaranjan, Srimula village

He said they will be benefited from the proposed project, they will get the sand at subsidized rate therefore he welcomed the proposal. In any problem arises there is

	rule for that. Water sprinkling can be done over road during sand transportation.		
7	Shri Sujeet Kumar Nayak, Tumusinga village		
	He opposed the project because due to it peace of their village will be disturbed.		
	Without taking any name he informed about the fighting incidents in nearby stone		
	quarry and threatening to them, therefore, he opposed the proposal.		
8	Shri Prabin Kumar Nayak, Tumusinga village		
	He opposed the project because place at which sand loaded vehicles will exit there is		
	an Anganbadi School and an U.P. School.		
9	Shri Dipu Chandra Mahakud, Tumusinga village		
	He opposed the project saying that there is an Anganbadi School at the exit point and		
	it will damage the village beauty.		
10	Shri Bidyadhar Behera, Tumusinga village		
	He said if alternate road is used for sand transportation after its development then		
	they have no objection. But alternate road couldn't be developed because it involves		
	private land. Without taking any name he also informed about the illegal stone quarry		
	which has been developed over 20 acres of land instead of 2 acres for which		
	permission was given by villagers, likewise no set of rules will be followed in propose		
	project.		
11	Shri Sisir Kumar Nayak, Tumusinga village		
	Without explaining much, he opposed the project saying that there is a School.		

Observation:

Development and use of alternate road other than village road for transportation of sand, development & repair of village road, movement of vehicles during school hour, enhancement of CSR fund from 2% to 10%, proper tarpaulin covering of sand loaded vehicles, water sprinkling on road, supply of sand at subsidized rate to the villagers, etc. were the most important issues/concerns emerged during the discussion.

Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Angul & representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, prepared the summary of the public hearing proceedings accurately reflecting the views and concerns expressed by the

Page 4 of 5

speakers with the inclusion of comments of the applicant read over to the audience at the end of the meeting explaining the contents in the ODIA Language and the agreed minutes of public hearing meeting was prepared. The statement of issues and concerns of public with the comments of applicant, prepared in Odia and English language is annexed herewith separately.

The President of Public Hearing meeting Shri Udaya Kumar Mohapatra OAS(S), Additional District Magistrate (Revenue), Dhenkanal District, ratified the minutes of meeting and with the views and demands of public. He then delivered vote of thanks to the public for extending co-operation in smooth conducting of the public hearing proceedings.

The meeting was ended with thanks to the chair.

Dr. Anup Kumar Mallick,

Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board,

Angul

(Representative of State Pollution Control Board, Odisha)

Shri Udaya Kumar Mohapatra OAS(S) Additional District Magistrate (Revenue),

Dhenkanal

(Representative of District Magistrate & Collector, Dhenkanal District)

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RAISED BY THE PUBLIC IN PUBLIC HEARING MEETING CONDUCTED ON 22.11.2022 AT 11:00AM AT TUMUSINGA PLAYGROUND, VILLAGE – TUMISINGA, TAHASIL -KAMAKHYANAGAR IN THE DISTRICT OF DHENKANAL, IN RESPECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT PROPOSED BY SHRI MANORANJAN PATRO, LESSEE FOR TUMUSINGA SAND QUARRY OVER AN AREA OF 5.06HA AT/MOUZA – TUMUSINGA, TAHASIL- KAMAKHYANAGAR OF DHENKANAL DISTRICT.

Source Bulgets By Subve	COMMENT OF ADDITIONS
ISSUES RAISED BY PUBLIC	COMMENT OF APPLICANT
The issues raised at the public hearing meeting are mainly two fold. These are issues concerning to sand transportation, road development and issues relating to development of the area are	Shri Susanta Sahoo, on behalf of Lessee of Tumusinga Sand Quarry of Dhenkanal District was present at the public hearing gave his comments to certain demands raised by public as follows.
Development and use of alternate road other than village road for transportation of sand.	Alternate road other than village road will be used for transportation of sand after its development.
2. Development & repair of village road.	Existing village road will be developed in consultation with District Administration.
3. Enhancement of CSR fund from 2% to 10%.	CSR activities and fund allocation issues will be taken up in consultation with District Administration and village committee.
Tarpaulin covering of sand loaded vehicles& Water sprinkling on road.	All environmental management measures proposed in the EIA report will be implemented including covering of sand loaded vehicles with tarpaulin.
Supply of sand at subsidized rate to the villagers	Sand will be given to the local villagers at low cost.

Susanta Sahw

Shri Susanta Sahoo, on behalf of Lessee of Tumusinga Sand Quarry At-Tumusinga, Dhenkanal District ତା ୨୨.୧୧.୨୦୨୨ରିଖ ଦିନ ପୂର୍ବାହ୍ନ ୧୧ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା ଖେଳପଡିଆ, ଗ୍ରାମ- ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା , ତହସିଲ- କାମାକ୍ଷାନଗର, ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଅନୁଷିତ ହୋଇଥିବା ପରିବେଶ ମୂଲ୍ୟାଙ୍କନ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧୀୟ ଜନଶୁଣାଣି ବୈଠକରେ କାମାକ୍ଷାନଗର ତହସିଲ ଅଧୀନରେ, ୫.୦୬ ହେକ୍ଟର ପରିମିତ ସ୍ଥାନରେ ପ୍ରକନ୍ଧ ପ୍ରସ୍ଥାବକ ଶ୍ରୀ ମନୋରଞ୍ଜନ ପାତ୍ରଙ୍କ ହାରା ପ୍ରସ୍ଥାବିତ ପ୍ରକନ୍ଧ "ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା ବାଲୁକା ଖଣି" ଗ୍ରାମ/ମୌଜା-ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା, ତହସିଲ- କାମାକ୍ଷାନଗର, ଜିଲ୍ଲା- ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳର ହୋଇଥିବା ଆପରି ଓ ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟର ବିଶଦ୍ ବିବରଣୀ:

ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ହାରା କରାଯାଇଥିବା ଆପରି	ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପ୍ରୟାବକଙ୍କ ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ
ଜନ ଶୁଣାଣି ସଭାରେ ଉଠାଯାଇଥିବା ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗଗୁଡ଼ିକ ମୁଖ୍ୟତଃ ୨	ଜନ ଶୁଣାଣିରେ ଉପସ୍ଥିତ ଥିବା ଶ୍ରୀ ସୁଶାନ୍ତ ସାହୁ, ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ
ପ୍ରକାର । ପ୍ରଞାବିତ ବାଲି ଖନନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକଳାପ ଏବଂ ଏହାର	ଜିଲାର ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା ବାଲୁକା ଖଣିର ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପ୍ରସାବକଙ୍କ ଡରଫରୁ
କ୍ଷତିକାରକ ଏବଂ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧୀୟ ବିଷୟଗୁଡ଼ିକ ହେଉଛି ଏହି	ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ଭାବରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଉଠାଯାଇଥିବା କିଛି
କ୍ଷେତ୍ରର ବିକାଶ ସହିତ କଡିତ ସମସ୍ୟାଗୁଡିକ ଯଥା, ପାରିପାର୍ଶ୍ୱିକ	ଆପତ୍ତି ଉପରେ ନିକର ମନ୍ତବ୍ୟ ଦେଇଛନ୍ତି।
ବିକାଶ	
ପ୍ରଦୃଷଣକୁ ନିୟନ୍ତଣ କରିବା ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗଗୁଡ଼ିକ ହେଉଛି,	୧. କିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରଶାସନ ସହିତ ପରାମର୍ଶ କରି ଗ୍ରାମ ରାୟା ବ୍ୟତୀତ
୧. ବାଲି ପରିବହନ ପାଇଁ ଗ୍ରାମ ରାସ୍ତା ବ୍ୟତୀତ ଅନ୍ୟ ବିକଳ୍ପ	ଅନ୍ୟ ବିକନ୍ସ ରାୟାର ବିକାଶ ପରେ ବାଲି ପରିବହନ ପାଇଁ
ରାୟାର ବିକାଶ ଏବଂ ବ୍ୟବହାର ।	ବ୍ୟବହୃତ ହେବ
୨. ଗ୍ରାମ ରାଷ୍ତାର ବିକାଶ ଏବଂ ମରାମତି ।	୨. କିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରଶାସନ ସହିତ ପରାମର୍ଶ କରି ବିଦ୍ୟମାନ ଗ୍ରାମ ରାୟା
	ବିକଶିତ କରାଯିବ ।
୩. କର୍ପୋରେଟ ସାମାଜିକ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ (ସି.ଏସ.ଆର) ପାଣ୍ଠିର ୨%	୩. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପ୍ରଶାସନ ଏବଂ ଗ୍ରାମ କମିଟି ସହିତ ପରାମର୍ଶ କରି
ରୁ ୧୦% କୁ ବୃଦ୍ଧି ।	କର୍ପୋରେଟ ସାମାଜିକ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ (CSR) କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକଳାପ ଏବଂ ପାଶି
	ଆବଞ୍ଚନ ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ ନିଆଯିବ ।
୪. ବାଲି ଲୋଡ୍ ହୋଇଥିବା ଯାନଗୁଡିକରେ ଟାର୍ପୋଲିନ ଆଚ୍ଛାଦନ	୪ ଇଆଇଏ ରିପୋର୍ଟରେ ପ୍ରୟାବିତ ସମୟ ପରିବେଶ ପରିଚାଳନା
ଏବଂ ରାୟାରେ ପାଣି ଛିଞ୍ଚିବା ।	ପଦକ୍ଷେପ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକାରୀ କରାଯିବ ସହିତ ବାଲି ବୋଝେଇ
	ହୋଇଥିବା ଯାନଗୁଡ଼ିକୁ ଟାର୍ପୋଲିନ୍ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଆଚ୍ଛାଦନ କରି
	ପରିବହନ କରାଯିବ ।
୫ ଗ୍ରାମବାସୀଙ୍କୁ ରିହାତି ହାରରେ ବାଲି ଯୋଗାଣ ।	୫.

ବୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା ବାଲୁକା ଖଣି ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପ୍ରଥାବକଙ୍କ ତରଫରୁ ଗ୍ରାମ – ତୁମୁଶିଙ୍ଗା, ଜିଲ୍ଲା – ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ



ରାଜ୍ୟପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତଣ ବୋଡ଼୍ ଓଡ଼ିଶା

(ଜଙ୍ଗଳ, ପରିବେଶଓ ଜଳବାୟ ପରିବରନ ବିଭାଗ ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର)

)

รางสอเสยให้เกิดไลย์เลือดเลือ

ବିଞ୍ଜାପନ

ରକି ସହି ମଧ୍ୟକ୍ତିକ ହେଉଥିବି । ଏହା ହେଉଥିବି ବ୍ୟେଷ୍ଟ୍ର କୋହିନା କି ମଧ୍ୟ କି ହେଉଥିବି ଓ ଓଡ଼ି ଜୀମ ହୁଣି ବୋର୍ଥ କିଥିବି । ଜଣ ଓ ଅଳ୍ପ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ହିଛି ସହି ଓଡ଼ା କିଥିବି ।

ପ୍ରତ୍ୟର ସେହେବ ହୋଛି । ଏହିହାଣୁ ନିଞ୍ଜ ଭାବାନିଶର ଏହି ଲିଆନ୍, ପୁର୍ବରମୁଣ ହାହ ହିଛି ହେଓ ହିଞ୍ଚିତ୍ର ହେଉଛି । ସହାରତ ମଧାମ ହାନିଶ୍ର ଏହାଳୁହା ଓଡ଼ି (ଉପାନ୍ତ ଅଟନ , ୨୯.୧) ନିଞ୍ଜ ହୋଇମିଞ୍ଜ ହାରେ ମଧାରତ ହେଉଛି । ପ୍ରଦ୍ଧ ବଧ୍ୟ ନିଷାରେ ହୋଛି ୧୯୧୭୧୧୧ର ନିର୍ବାହ୍ୟ ଗିଲିକା ସେହର ହେ<mark>ଡ଼ି ମାନ</mark> ନିଲା କାରାହାନ୍ତର ହେଉଛି । ଅନ୍ତ

ହ୍ନୀତିକ ଗ୍ରାସ ହିନ୍ତ ପ୍ରମସିକ ବୈଷ୍ଟର ହିମ୍ବା ହାନ୍ତ ଆଧାନ ହେଉଥିଲେ ପ୍ରମସ୍ତ ହେଉଥିଲେ ବହାନ୍ତ ପ୍ରସାଦିକ ପ୍ରକଳି ବିଷ୍ଟର ହେଉଥିଲେ ଭାବରେ କାହିତ୍ୱ ହାନ୍ତ ଦର୍ଶର ନଥାଚନ୍ତ କରିଥି (Environne) lupad Assessment ଓ ଏହି ପ୍ରମତ୍ତ କରିଥିଲି ହୋଇଥିଲି (Executive Summan) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଓ ୧୯ କରିଥିଲି ଲୋକ ନଥାଚନ୍ତ ସାଦର୍ଶନ କରେ ହଳିଆରଥିଲି

Indract Assessment Executive Calculus (Executive Summary) sections (Execut

େ ଏହା କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କ୍ରାମ୍ୟ ପର୍ବନ ପ୍ରଥମ ଓଡ଼େ ପ୍ରଥମ ପ୍ରଥମ ପ୍ରଥମ କଳ ଓ ଏ/ଉମ୍ମ ସ୍ଥାନକଣ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ନିକ୍ଷର ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ୍ୱର ଓଡ଼ ସହକ୍ରଳୀ ପ୍ରଥମ୍ଭ ପ୍ରଥମ ପ୍ରଥମ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ପ୍ରଥମ ପ୍ରଥମ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ୍ୱର କଳ ଏ/ଉମ୍ମ ସ୍ଥାନକଣ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ୍ୱର ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଶ୍ୱର ଓ

୍ଷ ଅଞ୍ଚଳିକ ହାସ୍ୟାକ୍ଷି: ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରହୁଷ୍ଟ ନିସ୍ତର ହୋଗ: ଓଡ଼ିଶା: ଅନୁଗ୍ରହୀ: ୬) ଜଳିକ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟ ପରିବରଟ ଜନାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସ୍ତରକର, ଭୁବହେଶର ।

୪. ବର୍ଷ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । (୧୯୬୬:୧୯୪) ଓଡ଼ିଆ କାହାର ବିକରଣୀର ନିର୍ମ୍ଭ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ହେ । ଆହାର ଅଧାରଣ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରତିଶେ ନିର୍ମଣ ପାଇଁ ଶାଳ୍ୟ ପ୍ରତିଷ୍ଠ ନିର୍ମ୍ଭଣ ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ହେ । ଏ ବ୍ୟସରଣ ସ୍ୱରିଶେ ଜିନ୍ନଣତ୍ୟ ତାଙ୍କ ଶାଳ୍ୟ ପ୍ରତିଷ୍ଠ ନିର୍ମ୍ଭଣ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଏହି କାହାରଣ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଏହି ବର୍ଷ । ଏହି ସହର ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଏହି । ଏହି ସହର ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଏହି । ଏହ

> Central Library S.P.C. Board A/118, Nilakantha Nagas UNIt-VIII, Shubarteswar-12

Paribash Bhawan, A/118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit-Vill, Bhubaneswar-751012 เสด สมอเห็นแล้ว และเมื่อสมใช aus incontante interprise Could reconside de la light sillen. Pente lighe autor in den de la light yet le englighende est en la light de light Sent light yet en en en de light die enhalte franchinge intere le det le englishen interest de la light de la lig on agree to applicate and trepresented the recent of the first Chelling the Societies. are and in the Street Street. The real field are the arm the inches included with the said o ne resta pendije ili kisa saga ali se te di para sa sa saga del 229 (23) si sa saga kara (13) se sa o saga pendiji tingga ta 23 maga batan saga ali se sa saga te saga te saga ta tang tingga pang at tang saga sa o saga tang saga saga pang tang saga saga tang saga sa sa saga tang tingga tang dibahy na saga saga saga sa and the second s The property of the proposed from the property of the property districts

Pessons desirous of participating in the Public hearing may go through the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) of the said prowing out of which will be available at the following offices, copy of the Executive Sugmary by Epsilish & Oria is also available in the following offices & the same can also down a call thought purpose a virtual beautiful or the policy of the environment of the collection of the control of the environment of the collection 47. lp ing Head office of the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Panvesh Bhawan, A pris, Nijakan hanagar, Unit -VIII, Bhabaneswar -12. gional Office, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Angul. 6. Department of Forest & Environment (Environment), Govt. of Odisha, Bhubaneswar, Forest Minimers and Indian State Pollution Control Board, Angul or the Member Secretary. State Pollution Control Board, Odisha at Bhubaneswar may be contacted.

Central Library
S.P.C. Board
A/+18, Nilakantha Nagai
UNit-VIII, Bhubaneswar-12

Tal 15110122 Page - 7 ME Supplied