

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 27.02.2026 (AT 10 AM) AT PREMISES OF G. P. OFFICE, MAHAMADNAGARPATNA UNDER JALESWAR TAHASIL OF BALASORE DISTRICT WITH RESPECT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF SEKHSARAI (KA) SAND BED FOR ANNUAL PRODUCTION OF RIVER SAND OF QUANTITY 37, 874 CUM BY MEANS OF OPEN CAST MANUAL METHOD WITH MECHANICAL TRANSPORT MODE DURING NON-MONSOON SEASON OVER AN AREA OF 5.665 HA IN SEKHSARAI VILLAGE UNDER JALESWAR TAHASIL OF BALASORE DISTRICT BY THE PROJECT PROPONENT SRI SOUMYADIP CHAKRABARTY, AT. KHAGADAPAL, PO. BADASIMULIA, BALIAPAL, DIST. BALASORE, ODISHA 756026

The Public Hearing in respect of the project proposed by Sri Soumyadip Chakrabarty, at. Khagadapal, Po. Badasimulia, Baliapal, Dist. Balasore, Odisha 756026 for annual production of river sand of quantity 37, 874 cum by means of open cast manual method with mechanical transport mode during non-monsoon season over an area of 5.665 ha at Sekhsarai (Ka) Sand Bed in Sekhsarai village under Jaleswar Tahasil Of Balasore District conducted on scheduled date and time i.e. On 27.02.2026 in accordance with the EIA Notification S.O. 1533 (E) dated 14.09.2006 and amendment made thereof. As required due publications was made in the two leading Daily Newspaper i.e. The Dharitri & The New Indian Express on 12.02.2026 prior to the public hearing for information of the public. The public hearing with regards to date, venue and time was published in Daily Newspaper on dated 12.02.2026. The Block Development Officer, Jaleswar and the Sarpanchs of the nearby Gram Panchayats were also requested to display the notice of public hearing in their respective Notice Board for wide circulation. The same was also announced through Public Address System in the surrounding village panchayats of the proposed project site on dated 26.02.26. The process followed for the public hearing was adequate.

The Panel consisted of the following members:

1. Shri Hemanta Kumar Singha, Additional District Magistrate (ADM), [Rev], Balasore, Odisha.
2. Shri Manmohan Murmu, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Balasore, Odisha.

Shri Hemanta Kumar Singha, Addl. District Magistrate [Rev], Balasore supervised & presided over the public hearing meeting which was assisted by Shri Manmohan Murmu, Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha Balasore who represent the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar.



At the outset, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Balasore welcomed the members participated in the meeting and gave a brief introduction about the guidelines to be followed for the public hearing in the light of EIA Notification 2006 and interim operational guidelines till date, in respect of the project proposed by the Project Proponent Sri Soumyadip Chakrabarty

Shri Manmohan Murmu explained that the views, comments, objections, and opinions of the public are necessary while considering the environmental clearance of the project.

Shri Hemanta Kumar Singha, Addl. District Magistrate [Rev], Balasore requested the project proponent to make a brief presentation, before the public gathered in the meeting, about various aspects of the proposed project to be undertaken for better awareness of the public.


Project Proponent Sri Soumyadip Chakrabarty, addressed the committee members and assembled public and made the presentation. But mid-way due to some commotion and noise from the general public assembled for the hearing, he could not complete his presentation. Then the Addl. District Magistrate, [Rev], Balasore intervened and requested the public to give their views, comments, objections, and opinions on the environmental aspects of the project and the proceeding directly went to it's next phase.

The views expressed by various speakers on the proposed project are as follows:

1. Shri Mukesh Kumar Palei, Sekhsarai

He expressed that public hearing is being conducted for Sekhsarai (KA) sand bed which was earlier tendered for the period from 2015-16 to 2019-20. He stated that after a lapse of about ten years, the Collector had issued a letter dated 04.08.2025 seeking clarification from the Department of Steel and Mines and questioned whether any clarification has been received so far. He further stated that as per the approved District Survey Report (DSR) dated 25.03.2025, Sekhsarai (KA) along with Sekhsarai (Kha) sand beds are included in a cluster. He expressed concern that the present public hearing is being conducted for environmental clearance without obtaining Cluster Environmental Clearance, which according to him is contrary to the provisions of MoEF & CC guidelines.

He further questioned the consideration for individual environmental clearance, despite the cluster provision. He also stated that two cases related to the matter are



pending before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal (NGT). He raised concern that proper demarcation of the lease area has not been carried out and stated that without proper demarcation the public hearing should not be conducted. He further stated that another leased area is located within about 1 km distance and therefore cluster EC should be considered. He expressed that conducting public hearing without obtaining Cluster EC would make the process premature and defective. In view of the above concerns, he requested the authority to cancel the present public hearing. Finally, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

2. Shri Mrutyunjaya Nath, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that the Sekhsarai (KA) sand bed was earlier leased during the period 2015–16 to 2019–20. He stated that the lease was earlier granted to a person named Chakrabarty and questioned why the administration is now eager to auction the sand bed again. He further stated that about 10–15 years ago the river was flowing near Baranya village but now the course of the river has shifted towards Mahammadnagarpatna village. He expressed concern that due to sand mining activities the river course has changed and the village may face the risk of submergence.

He further expressed that sand mining activities have increased pollution and have affected the river ecosystem. He stated that the sand level in the river bed has significantly decreased and in many places the sand deposit is only about 1 to 1.5 feet. He raised concern that due to reduction in sand deposits the river banks are becoming weak and are being eroded by the river flow. He also expressed that villagers are facing difficulty in obtaining drinking water from tube wells and agricultural activities are being affected, due to depletion of the ground water table. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

3. Shri Ajit Kumar Sahu, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that the Subarnarekha River is flowing through Mahamadnagarpatna village and stated that about 10–15 years ago the river was flowing near Barampuria and some other villages. He alleged that the course of the river has shifted due to sand excavation. He further stated that in the year 2015 tender was issued for sand quarry due to which huge quantities of sand were excavated. He expressed concern that due to excessive sand extraction the village is now endangered and the river trench has become about 20 feet deep. He further stated that the water level has decreased significantly and villagers are facing difficulty in getting drinking water. He requested the administration to inspect the river bank to assess the



remaining sand and questioned how the sand bed auction can be justified under the present situation. He further raised that a case has been filed before the NGT in the year 2025 against sand mining and the matter is sub-judice. He sought clarification on conducting public hearing while the matter is pending before the NGT. Finally, he opposed the proposed sand bed auction.

4. Shri Shreenath Padhi, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that he is a resident of Mahamadnagarpatna village and strongly opposed the public hearing for the auction of Sekhsari (KA) sand bed. He expressed concern that the groundwater level is decreasing and villagers are facing difficulty in getting drinking water. He further stated that people are suffering from respiratory diseases and tuberculosis. He also stated that the proposed sand quarry is located at a bend of the river where a trench of about 30–40 feet depth has already been created due to sand extraction. He apprehended that further sand extraction may alter the flow of the river and increase the chances river bank erosion. In view of the above concerns, he demanded that the quarry should not be allowed and the auction should be cancelled. Finally, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

5. Shri Rabinarayan Patra, Sekhsari Village

He expressed that he is a resident of Sekhsari village and opposed the public hearing for Sekhsari (KA) sand bed. He stated that earlier the river was flowing in a stable course when adequate sand was present in the river bed. However, due to excessive sand extraction the course of the river has changed. He further expressed that the stone embankments constructed along the river bank have been damaged due to sand mining activities. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand bed auction.

6. Shri Rajesh Kumar Jena ,

He expressed that the common demand of the local people is that sand quarry activities should be stopped in the area. He stated that if sand mining continues, there is apprehension that Jaleswar area may face serious impacts. He further expressed that several incidents related to mining activities have already occurred and such situations should be prevented. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

7. Shri Radha Shyam Parida, Jampur



He expressed that sand from the local area should not be supplied outside the region. He stated that the local people will not allow supply of sand to West Bengal. He demanded that sand mining activities in the area should be stopped. Finally, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

8. Shri Sapan Barik, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that due to sand quarry activities the current of the river has increased and the river embankment has been damaged. He further stated that landslides are occurring near the river bank area due to erosion caused by sand extraction. He requested the administration to inspect the river bank situation and take necessary action. In view of the above concerns, he demanded that sand quarry activities should be stopped in the area. Finally, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

9. Shri Satyajit Sen

He expressed several concerns regarding sand mining activities. Firstly, he stated that sand mining has caused environmental pollution in the area. Secondly, he expressed that the water level of the river is gradually decreasing. Thirdly, he stated that villagers are facing difficulties in getting drinking water. He also raised concern that due to plying of sand laden transporting trucks and tractors, school and college students are facing difficulties while travelling and road accidents are increasing due to rash driving of these vehicles. He requested the officials to visit the sand quarry area to assess the actual situation on ground. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

10. Shri Arman Khan, Santoshpur GP

He greeted the panel members and gathering and expressed that the Sekhsari (KA) sand quarry will provide several benefits to the local people. He stated that thousands of people may get employment opportunities due to the sand quarry activities and sand will be available at a cheaper price for local use. He also stated that the government has already provided drinking water supply through piped water connections to households in the area. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed Sekhsari (KA) sand quarry.

11. Arun Senapati, Mahamadnagarpatna

He greeted the members of the panel as Sarpanch of Mahamadnagarpatna G.P. and stated that the public hearing should be conducted for general benefit of the



public. He raised the concern that the ground water level is decreasing due to sand mining activity and informed that previously the groundwater can be extracted at 20 feet but now the water is below 70-80 feet at the Sheksari Village. He, further raised the issue that the lease holders are extracting the sand below the river water table. He emphasized the point that as most of the people of the village are dependant on agriculture, how they can sustain during summer season when there will be scarcity of water. Further he stated that the villagers are facing the issue of river bank erosion and change of direction of river, and despite inspection by authorities no effect is observed. He stated that the villagers are facing various difficulties due to sand mining. At the end he strongly opposed the sand quarry, and demanded it to be stopped.

12. Shri Rajiv Kumar Patra,

He expressed his concern that due to sand mining activities the villagers are facing problems related to drinking water availability and road connectivity. He further stated that sand extraction has affected the groundwater level and the condition of roads used by the villagers. He requested the administration not to permit sand mining in the area. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

13. Shri Nadar Khan, Santoshpur

He expressed that sand mining activities in Sekhsarai (KA) should continue. He stated that operation of the sand quarry will generate employment opportunities for local people and poor labourers will be benefited. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

14. Shri Sekhar Jena

He expressed that sand mining activities should be stopped in the area. He stated that farmers and poor people are facing difficulties due to sand quarry operations and transportation of sand through local roads. He requested the administration to close the sand quarry. In view of the above concerns, he opposed the proposed sand quarry.

15. Shri Chandan Kumar Nayak

He expressed that many local people are getting benefited from sand mining activities. He stated that sand mining should be carried out as per the provisions of



law so that local people continue to get benefits. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

16. Shri Narendra Nayak, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that continuation of sand mining in Sekhsarai (KA) will generate employment opportunities for labourers and will benefit poor people by providing sand for construction of houses under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana as now they have to pay nearly double the price to purchase the sand from outside. He further stated that the National Highway is located about 1.5 km away and there are no schools or temples in the immediate vicinity, therefore the quarry operation not likely to create significant disturbance to local residents. He also expressed that if sand mining is carried out legally, it will help maintain water flow in the river and preserve the river bank. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

17. Shri Budhiram Marandi

He expressed that if the sand quarry is closed, the available stock of sand may remain unused and local people will face difficulty in obtaining construction material. He stated that the quarry will help tribal communities like him to build houses under Indira Awas. He further stated that the quarry will also provide materials required for construction of roads and houses. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

18. Dipak Kumar Jena, Chalanfi

He expressed that wealthy people can afford to purchase sand from distant places such as Anandpur, whereas poor people depend on local sand quarries for employment and affordable construction material. He stated if one person procures sand from Anandpur one trip would cost 5000-6000 rupees and one cannot build Indira awas within the allotted amount. that He stated that sand from the local quarry will help poor people construct houses under Indira Awas Yojana and likely at a lower cost. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

19. Shri Jogendra Sahoo, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that the sand quarry should be operated as it will benefit poor people by providing sand at lower cost for construction of houses under Indira Awas Yojana. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.



20. Shri Ganesh Kumar Sasmal, Salandi

He expressed that if the Sekhsarai (KA) sand quarry is operated, local villagers will benefit by getting sand for construction of houses under Indira Awas Yojana. He stated that if sand has to be purchased from distant contractors, the quality may not be reliable and the cost may also increase. He further stated that there is no sand quarry near the village and this creates difficulty for the villagers. He requested the administration to permit operation of the quarry after due investigation. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

21. Shri Prabhas Chandra Das, Sekhsari

He greeted the panel members and gathering and expressed that there is scarcity of sand in Sekhsarai and nearby villages as no sand quarry is operating in the area. He stated that although the government has fixed the price of sand at around Rs. 900 per tractor load, people are forced to purchase sand at prices ranging between Rs. 3000–3200 due to lack of local supply. He expressed that operation of the quarry will provide sand at affordable prices for construction of houses. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

22. Shri Debendra Giri, Mahamadnagarpatna

He expressed that the sand quarry should be opened as it will benefit the local people. He stated that nearly 1000 families may receive direct benefits from the operation of the sand quarry through employment and access to affordable construction materials. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

23. Smt. Chandi Murmu, Mahamadnagarpatna

She expressed that the sand quarry should be opened so that local people can obtain sand at a cheaper rate. She stated that at present sand is available at very high prices which poor people cannot afford. In view of the above reasons, she supported the proposed sand quarry.

24. Shri Ekamar Khan, Santoshpur

He expressed that he is a labourer and supported operation of the sand quarry. He stated that at present he has to purchase sand at around Rs. 48,000 per trip from distant locations, whereas if the local quarry is made operational the cost may be



reduced to around Rs. 20,000. He expressed that operation of the quarry will reduce construction material cost and benefit poor people. In view of the above reasons, he supported the proposed sand quarry.

25. SK Mujibur Rahman, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He greeted the panel members and gathering and said, there are so many other sources of sand in the nearby area and no need of mining of Sekhsarai Sand Bed. In view of this, he opposes the proposed mining of Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

26. Shri Laxman Tudu, Belopal

He greeted the panel members and gathering. He said that he is a laborer and he needs to work to feed his family. If Sekhsarai Sand Bed project becomes operational he will get some employment. Sand is the basic need for construction of a house under Indira Awas Yojana etc. If this project become successful, poor people can get sand at a cheaper for making their own house under Indira Awas Yojana and thus he supported the sand mining project.

27. Shri Gagan Jena,

He said that everyone is saying that due to this sand mining project, local people get sand easily and making a home will be cheaper, but what about bricks, that is still expensive. In view of this, he opposes the proposed mining of Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

28. Anonymous (Videography timing from 1.06.38 to 1.07.09).

The speaker's name was not audible due to loud noise from the public while he was providing his introduction. He greeted the panel members and gathering. He said that illegal mining of sand is going on in this area and if Sekhsarai Sand Bed project comes in to existence, illegal sand mining will be stopped and Govt will get some revenue and thus he welcomed the sand mining project.

29. Shri Manoj Kumar Das, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He said that due to transport of sand laden vehicle, there is huge spillage of sand over the road which is causing the road accidents. Due to this vehicular movement, so much dust is getting air borne that nobody can stand on the road. Dust is entering the nearby houses. He said many people may not have car or motorbike, poor people have cycle and due to sand on road, poor people are prone to accident. If this sand mining project will be approved, only rich people will get benefitted, poor people will get nothing. We can get sand from nearby sand source and we don't have to go for Anandpur sand. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.



30. Sri Ranjan Kumar Nandi, Jamalpur

He greeted the panel members and said that there will be so much pollution if Sekhsarai Sand Bed project will be operational. Sand laden vehicle will cause road accidents. Road is narrow, so if big truck is coming it is difficult to move on the road. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

31 Shri Ramkrushna Dutta, Somnathpada

He said that there will be very high pollution if Sekhsarai Sand Bed project will be operational. Sand laden vehicle will cause road accidents. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

32. Shri Nitailal Dey, Mahammad Nagar Patna GP

He greeted the panel members and said that due to Sekhsarai Sand Bed project river course will change. Sand laden vehicles are causing pollution and dust is entering in the road side homes. Due to this pollution, trees or plant are not bearing any flower or fruits. He said where they will get the money to cover their window with glass. Sand laden vehicle will cause the road accidents. Ground water will go down due to this sand mining project. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

33. Shri Mayadhar Das, Seksarai

He said that river course has been changed due to previous sand mining. Sand is present only up to 1-2 feet, but mining is going on as deep as 20-30 feet. Due to our opposition, sand mining was closed till date. Now only small amount of sand is left in the river, and miners want to take this small amount of sand. Due to sand transportation, sand will be deposited on the both sides of the of the road which will cause the road accidents. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

34. Smt. Maina Kisku, Gadma

She said that due to sand mining ground water will go down and they will not get the water. She also said that small kids are going to school and due to sand transportation, accidents may happen. Thus, she opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.



35. Shri Girija Shankar Sahoo, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He expressed his concern that due to transportation of sand laden vehicles air pollution is happening. Due to this pollution, trees or plant are not getting flower or fruits, ground water will go down and we will not get the water. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

36. Shri Shubhranshu Sekhar Sahoo, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He said that sand mining should not be allowed in this area because it will cause pollution. Ground water will also go down. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

37. Shri Parshuram Giri, Chalanti

He said that due to sand mining, ground water level is going down which is causing problem in cultivation. Food is important, sand is secondary thing. Sand mining will also cause pollution. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

38. Shri Amulya Kumar Sahoo, Sekhsarai

He said that in this Sekhsarai GP area, 90 % people are farmer and they depend on agriculture, not on sand mining. Due to sand mining, ground water level will go down which will cause problem in cultivation. Due to sand mining, they will not be able to get water for their household uses. They have to live by eating food and not the sand. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

39. Shri Rajesh Giri, Chakrada

He welcomed the M/S Sekhsarai Sand Bed project. He said that due to sand mining many people will get employment. Transportation of sand laden vehicles should be suspended when students are going to school to avoid accidents. Thus, he supports the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.

40 Rabindra Jena, Kothasahi

He said that for life agriculture is important and not the sand. Sand comes after the food. Thus, he opposed the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed project.



41. Chandan Kumar Parida, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He said that sand laden vehicles are passing through his village in the night and making loud noise, due to which he is unable to sleep. He also complained that during the movement of sand laden vehicle, his home is also jerking. In this context, he opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

42. Tapas Kumar Sahu, Sekhsarai

He said that due to sand mining, ground water level will go down which will cause problem in cultivation. Due to sand mining, they will not be able to get water for their household uses. Due to sand mining, our environment is getting polluted. In context of this, he opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

43. Adhir Kumar Dey, Chalanti

He greeted the panel members and said that sand helps in preventing floods. That is why Govt. is using sandbags in flood hit area to control flood. In context of this, he opposes the proposed M/S Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

44. Harendra Behera, Kothasahi

He said that due to sand mining, ground water level will go down which will cause problem in cultivation. Already water level has gone very deep. He also said that our Odisha is an agricultural based state, so we first think about agriculture because we cannot eat sand, we have to eat the rice. In context of this, he opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

45. Shri Linkan Patra, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He said that there is very little amount of sand in the river and due to sand mining, ground water level will go down which will cause problem in cultivation. Due to sand mining, they will not be able to get water for their household uses. Due to sand mining, our environment is getting polluted. In context of this, he opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

46. Shri Adikanda Brahma, Sikarpur

He greeted the panel members and said that due to Sekhsarai Sand Bed project river course will change and common people will suffer. People will not get employment due



to this sand mining project, because all the mining will be performed by the machines. In context of this, he opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

47. Shri Manoj Kumar Brahma, Sikarpur

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

48. Shri Sonu Kumar Giri, Sikarpur

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project in the fear of depleting ground water level.

49. Serajul Khan, Tekari

He welcomed the Sekhsarai Sand Bed project. He said that due to sand mining many people will get employment. Thus, he supported the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

50. Shri Samir Das, Kothasahi

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project in the fear of Pollution.

51. Shri Chaitnya Kumar Das, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

52. Shri Amresh Giri, Sikharpur

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project in the fear of Pollution.

53. Shri Rajib Kumar Giri, Sikharpur

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

54. Shri Sanjib Mohanty, Deula

He opposes the proposed Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.



55. Shri Swadesh Kumar Jena, Narsinghpur


He said that sand laden vehicles will cause accidents. And thus, he opposes the proposed M/S Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

56. Shri Bharat Chandra Basky, Mahammad Nagar Patna

He said that this sand mining project will generate employment to poor people and Govt will get some revenue and thus he supported the Sekhsarai Sand Bed mining project.

After the above mentioned speaker just concluded his speech, all of sudden there was a clash between two groups from the public for unknown reasons and it got intensified. The police force was used to pacify the situation. But it got so escalated that it went beyond the control of the police and the senior officers from the police force expressed their inability to control the situation due to inadequacy of deployed force with respect to the size of the mob. With no way out the public hearing was stopped.


The Project Proponent could not comment on the issues raised by the public during the public hearing. However, he submitted his written statement after the public hearing. Similarly, some of the attendees have submitted their written statements with respect to the public hearing. Total 1461 numbers of written statements have been received and on scrutiny of the same it was found that 698 numbers are in favour of the project whereas 763 numbers were against the project. The written statements are appended with this proceeding.



Sri Manmohan Murmu

**Regional Officer, State Pollution Control
Board, Odisha, Balasore**

*(Assisted as representative of the State
Pollution Control Board, Odisha)*



Sri Hemanta Kumar Singh
Additional District Magistrate,

Balasore, Odisha

*(Presided and supervised the Public
Hearing meeting)*

Date: 27.2.2026

To

The Additional District magistrate Balasore, SPCB Balasore.


ବିଷୟ - (ସେକସରାଇ 'କ' ବାଲିଉତ୍ସର ଜନଶୁଣାଣୀ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ)

Sir,

ମୁଁ ନିମ୍ନ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରକାରୀ ଶ୍ରୀ ସୌମ୍ୟଦୀପ ଚକ୍ରବର୍ତ୍ତୀ, ପିତା - ସୁଧାଂଶୁ ଚକ୍ରବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ସା- ଖଗଡ଼ାପାଳ, ପୋ- ବଡ଼ସିମୁଲିଆ ର ଅଧିବାସୀ ଅଟେ । ବିଗତ ୨୦୧୫-୧୬ ଆଧିକ ବର୍ଷର ସେକସରାଇ 'କ' ବାଲିଘାଟ Auction ପ୍ରକ୍ରିୟାରେ ଭାଗନେଇ ସଫଳ Bidder ହୋଇଥିଲି । ଉକ୍ତ ନ୍ୟାୟାଳୟର ଅର୍ଡର ନଂ ମୁତାବକ ବିଗତ ୨୭-୦୨-୨୦୨୬ ରିଖ Public hearing ଜଳେଶ୍ୱର ତହସିଲ ଅଧିନସ୍ଥ ମହମ୍ମଦ ନଗର, ପାଟଣା ଗ୍ରାମପଞ୍ଚାୟତ ରେ ତହସିଲଦାର ଜଳେଶ୍ୱର , ଆଇ.ଆଇ.ସି ଜଳେଶ୍ୱର, ଏ.ଡି.ଏମ. ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ଏବଂ RO-SPCB Balasore କ୍ ଅଧ୍ୟକ୍ଷତାରେ ଦିନ ୧୦ ଘଟିକାରୁ ସେକସରାଇ 'କ' ବାଲିଉତ୍ସର ଜନଶୁଣାଣୀ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ଚାଲୁହୋଇ ଦିନ ପ୍ରାୟ ୧ ଘଟିକାରୁ ଉର୍ଦ୍ଧ ସମୟ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଚାଲିଥିଲା । ଉକ୍ତ ସଭାରେ ସପକ୍ଷବାଦୀ ଏବଂ ବିପକ୍ଷବାଦୀଙ୍କର ମତ ଯଥା ଲିଖିତ ଏବଂ ମୌଖିକ ସଂଗ୍ରହରୁ ମୁଁ Auction ଗ୍ରହୀତା ହୃତବୋଧ ହେଲିକି ଯେ, ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କର ସେକସରାଇ 'କ' ବାଲିଘାଟ ହେବା ଦ୍ୱାରା ବହୁବିଧ ସୁବିଧା ଯଥା - ଶସ୍ତାରେ ବାଲିପାଇବା ଦ୍ୱାରା ଲୋକଙ୍କର ପ୍ରଧାନମନ୍ତ୍ରୀ ଆବାସ ଯୋଜନା, ରାସ୍ତାଘାଟ ଇତ୍ୟାଦି ବହୁବିଧ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସାଧନ ହେବା ସଂଗେ ସଂଗେ ଛୋଟ ଛୋଟ ସମସ୍ୟା ଯାହା ରାସ୍ତାରେ ଧୂଳି ଉଡ଼ିବା ଏବଂ ଗ୍ରାଫିକ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣକୁ ମାନିବା ସଂଗେ ସଂଗେ ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାରଙ୍କର ନୂତନ ଖଣି ନୀତିକୁ (OMMC Rule) କୁ ମାନି ଖଣି ପରିଚାଳନା କରିବି ଏବଂ ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାରଙ୍କର ନୂତନ ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ଶସ୍ତା ଦରରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କୁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ମୁତାବକ ବାଲି ଯୋଗାଇଦେବି ବୋଲି ଉକ୍ତ ସଭାରେ ମତ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତ କରି ମୁଁ ଆପଣଙ୍କ ଠାରେ ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ସର୍ତ୍ତାବଳୀକୁ ମାନିବି ବୋଲି ଲିଖିତ ଦେଉଅଛି ।

ପ୍ରଭାବ ହ୍ରାସପାଇଁ ପଦକ୍ଷେପ :-

- ୧) ବାଲିପରିବହନ ହେବା ଦ୍ୱାରା ରାସ୍ତାରେ ଧୂଳି ଉଡ଼ିବା ପୂର୍ବରୁ ପ୍ରତ୍ୟେକ ଦିନ ୩ ଥର ବା ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ମୁତାବକ Water tank ଜରିଆରେ ପାଣି ସିଞ୍ଚନ କରିବି ।
- ୨) ଗ୍ରାଫିକ ଗତିବିଧି ପାଇଁ ହଲ ରାସ୍ତା ନିର୍ମାଣ ଏବଂ ରକ୍ଷଣା ବେକ୍ଷଣ କରିବି ।
- ୩) ହଲ ରାସ୍ତାରେ ତମ୍ପର / ଗ୍ରାକୂର ଗତିକୁ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ କରିବା ପାଇଁ ଲୋକଙ୍କୁ ନିୟୁକ୍ତ ଦେବି ।
- ୪) ପରିବହନ ଯାନଗୁଡ଼ିକରେ ଅଧିକ ବୋହିବାକୁ ଏଡାଇଦିଆଯିବ ଏବଂ ତାରପୋଲିନ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଆଛାଦିତ କରାଯିବ ।
- ୫) ମୁଖ୍ୟ ଖଣିର ହଲ ରାସ୍ତାରେ ବୃକ୍ଷ ରୋପଣ କରାଯିବା ସହିତ ତମ୍ପର / ଗ୍ରାକୂର ଗତିବିଧି ଯୋଗୁଁ ଧୂଳି ଉତ୍ପାଦନ ରୋକିବା ପାଇଁ ହଲ ରାସ୍ତାଗୁଡ଼ିକର ନିୟମିତ Grading କରାଯିବ ।


27/02/26

- ୬) ଖଣି କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକଳାପ ସମୟରେ ଭୂତଳ ଜଳ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ହେବ ନାହିଁ ସମଗ୍ର ଲିଭ୍ ଅବଧି ସମୟରେ ଭୂପୃଷ୍ଠର ଉପର ଭାଗର ୨.୫ ମିଟର ଠାରୁ କିମ୍ବା ଭୂତଳ ଜଳ ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ ଯାହା ପ୍ରଥମେ ଆସିବ ସେହି ପର୍ଯ୍ୟନ୍ତ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ହେବ । ଭୂପୃଷ୍ଠ ଜଳର ପରିଚାଳନା ପାଇଁ ଯଦି ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ହୁଏ ତେବେ ଯୋଜନା ମୁତାବକ ସ୍ଥାନରେ ବନ୍ଧ ନିର୍ମାଣ କରାଯିବ ।
- ୭) ଯାନବାହାନର ଗତିବିଧି ହେଉଛି ଶବ୍ଦ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣର ଉତ୍ସ । ବର୍ତ୍ତା ,କ୍ରୋବାର ଇତ୍ୟାଦି ବ୍ୟବହାର କରି ଖଣି ଅଞ୍ଚଳର ଖୋଲିବା ଦ୍ୱାରା ଯେଉଁ କୋଳାହଳ ସୃଷ୍ଟି ହେବ ତାକୁ ଚଳାଇ ନିଆଯିବ ।
- ୮) ସମସ୍ତ ପରିବହନ ଯାନର ସଠିକ ରକ୍ଷଣା ବେକ୍ଷଣ କରାଯିବ ଯାହା କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସମୟରେ ଶବ୍ଦ ହ୍ରାସ କରିବାରେ ସାହାଯ୍ୟ କରିବ ।
- ୯) ହସ୍ତ ଯନ୍ତ୍ରପାତି ଦ୍ୱାରା ଉତ୍ପନ୍ନ ଶବ୍ଦ ମଧ୍ୟବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ହେବ ଏବଂ ଅଧିକ ପ୍ରତିକୂଳ ପ୍ରଭାବ ପକାଇବ ନାହିଁ ।
- ୧୦) ଖଣି ଖନନ ପାଇଁ ଏପରି କୌଣସି ଯନ୍ତ୍ର ବ୍ୟବହାର ହେବନାହିଁ ଯାହା ଖରାପ ପ୍ରଭାବ ପକାଇବ ।
- ୧୧) ଯାନବାହାନର ଗତି ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ହେବ ଏବଂ ପଶୁମାନଙ୍କର ଗତି ବିଧି ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ପ୍ରତୀକ ପ୍ରଦାନ କରାଯିବ ।
- ୧୨) ଜଳର ଜାତୀୟ ଜୀବନର କ୍ଷତି ନ ହେବା ପାଇଁ ଜଳ ଠାରୁ ଦୂରରେ ଖନନ କରାଯିବ ।
- ୧୩) ବର୍ଷା ଋତୁରେ ଖନନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ବନ୍ଦ ରହିବ ।

ଉପକାରଣ :-

- ୧) ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ବାଲିଖନନ ଏବଂ ପରିବହନ ଭଳି ବିଭିନ୍ନ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟକଳାପରେ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ଲୋକଙ୍କୁ ରୋଜଗାର ଯୋଗାଇବ ବୋଲି ଆଶା କରାଯାଉଛି ।
- ୨) ବାଲି ଉଠାଇବା କାର୍ଯ୍ୟର ଅଗ୍ରଗତି ହେବା ସହିତ ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ଲୋକଙ୍କର ମୁଣ୍ଡପିଛା ଆୟ ବୃଦ୍ଧି ପାଇବ ଏବଂ ରାଜ୍ୟସରକାରଙ୍କର ବିଭିନ୍ନ ଟିକସ ଆକାରରେ ଅର୍ଥ ଉତ୍ପାଦନ କରିବ । ଏହା ସରକାରଙ୍କର ଜନସାଧାରଣପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ ସାମାଜିକ ଆବଶ୍ୟକତାର ଖର୍ଚ୍ଚ ହ୍ରାସ କରିବ । ସେହି ଅଞ୍ଚଳର ସାମଗ୍ରିକ ବିକାଶ ହେବ । ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ଅଞ୍ଚଳର ଭିତ୍ତିଭୂମି ସୁବିଧା ଉପରେ ସାକାରମୂଳକ ପ୍ରଭାବ ପଡ଼ିବ ବୋଲି ମୁଁ ମୋର ମତ ପ୍ରଦାନ କରି ପତ୍ରଟିର ଲିଖିତ ସମ୍ପାଦନ କଲି ।

ଜିତି

ଆପଣଙ୍କର ବିଶ୍ୱସ୍ତ

Jayyadev Chatterjee

27.2.2026

22/6



STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, ODISHA

(Forest, Environment & Climate Change Department, Government of Odisha)
Paribesh Bhawan, A-118, Nilakantha Nagar, Unit - VIII
Bhubaneswar - 751012

F-mail: publichearing@ospceboard.org Website: ospceboard.odisha.gov.in

No. 1979 /

IND-II-PH-1276

Date: 11.02.2026

8001/60/2526

NOTICE

It is brought to the notice of all concerned that the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha issued an advertisement in the newspapers "The Dharitri" and "The New Indian Express" on Dt. 04.07.2025 to conduct the public hearing in respect of M/s Sekhsarai (KA) Sand Bed for mining of river sand over an area of 5.665 Ha., At- Sekhsarai, Tehsil- Jaleswar, District- Balasore. The same public hearing is now re-scheduled to be held on Dt. 27.02.2026 at 10.00 AM in the premises of G.P. Office of Mahammadnagarpatna of Balasore district.

By virtue of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change, Government of India Notification No. S.O. 1533 (E) Dtd. 14.09.2006, the Board has been authorized to conduct public hearing for Environmental Clearance and as such invites suggestions, views, comments and objections on matters relating to environmental aspects of the proposed project from all the persons including bonafide residents, environmental groups and others located at the proposed site / sites of displacement / sites likely to be affected.

For the above purpose, a person means:

- Any person who is likely to be affected on grant of Environmental Clearance.
- Any person who owns his control over the project with respect to which an application has been submitted for environmental clearance.
- Any association of persons whether incorporated or likely to be affected by the project and / or functioning in the field of environment.
- Any local authority within any part of whose local limits is within the neighborhood, wherein the project is proposed to be located.

Persons as above who desire to submit their views, comments, objections etc. relevant to the project, may do so in writing within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice addressing the same to the Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha through Registered Post. Besides this, persons interested to submit their views relevant to the proposed project in writing or orally may also do so during the public hearing re-scheduled to be conducted on Dt. 27.02.2026 at 10.00 AM in the premises of G.P. Office of Mahammadnagarpatna of Balasore district.

Persons desire to participate in the public hearing may go through the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) / Environmental Management Plan (EMP) of the said project which will be available at the following offices. Copy of the Executive Summary both in Odia & English is also available in the following offices & the same can also be downloaded from the website <https://ospceboard.odisha.gov.in> free of cost.

- Office of the Collector and District Magistrate, Balasore
- District Industries Center, Balasore
- Office of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Balasore
- Head Office of the State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Paribesh Bhawan, A-118, Nilakanthanagar, Unit - VIII, Bhubaneswar-12
- Regional Office, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Balasore
- Department of Forest, Environment & Climate Change (Environment), Govt. of Odisha, Bhubaneswar

For any further clarification in this matter, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Balasore or the Member Secretary, State Pollution Control Board, Odisha, Bhubaneswar may be contacted

By the order of Member Secretary
Sd/-

OIPR-8001/60/12/25-26/0010

ADDL. CHIEF ENV. ENGINEER

The New Indian Express 24.12.2026 P-12

Central Library
State Pollution Control Board
A-118, Nilakantha Nagar
Unit-VIII, Bhubaneswar-12



ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା

(ଜଙ୍ଗଲ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର)

ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠନଗର, ଯୁନିଟ୍-୮

ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର-୭୫୧୦୧୨

ସଂଖ୍ୟା ୧୯୭୩

IND-II-PH-୧୨୭୬

ତା. ୧୧.୦୨.୨୦୨୬

No. 8001/81/2526

ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ

ଏତଦ୍ୱାରା ସମସ୍ତଙ୍କ ଅବଗତ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଜଣାଇ ଦିଆଯାଉଅଛି ଯେ, ମେସର୍ସ ସେକ୍ସଭାଇ "କ" ବାଣିଜ୍ୟ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଜଳେଶ୍ୱର ଚନ୍ଦ୍ରବିଳ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ସେକ୍ସଭାଇ ଗ୍ରାମରେ ୫.୬୬୫ ହେକ୍ଟର ପରିମିତ ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ ବାଲିଖଣିକୁ ବାଲି ଉତ୍ପାଦନ କରିବା ନିମନ୍ତେ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ପାଇଁ "ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି" ତା.୦୫.୦୮.୨୦୨୫ ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦.୦୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ଜିଲ୍ଲା, ଜଳେଶ୍ୱର ଚନ୍ଦ୍ରବିଳ ଅନ୍ତର୍ଗତ ମହମ୍ମଦନଗର ପାଟଣା ଗ୍ରାମପଞ୍ଚାୟତ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ପ୍ରାଙ୍ଗଣରେ ଅନୁଷ୍ଠିତ ହେବାକୁ ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ହୋଇଥିଲା । ଉକ୍ତ ଶୁଣାଣିର ବିଶେଷ ତଥ୍ୟ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ସମ୍ବାଦପତ୍ର ଯଥା "ଧରିତ୍ରୀ" ଏବଂ "The New Indian Express" ରେ ତା.୦୪.୦୭.୨୦୨୫ ରିଖରେ ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ପ୍ରକାଶ କରାଯାଇଥିଲା । ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି ତା.୨୭.୦୨.୨୦୨୬ ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦.୦୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ମହମ୍ମଦନଗର ପାଟଣା ଗ୍ରାମପଞ୍ଚାୟତ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ପ୍ରାଙ୍ଗଣରେ ହେବାକୁ ପୁନଃ ନିର୍ଦ୍ଧାରିତ ହୋଇଛି ।

ଭାରତ ସରକାର ପରିବେଶ, ଜଙ୍ଗଲ ଏବଂ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ମନ୍ତ୍ରଣାଳୟର ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ନିମନ୍ତେ ଅଧିକାରୀ ସଂଖ୍ୟା ଏମ୍.ଓ.୧୫୩୩(ଇ), ତା.୧୪.୦୯.୨୦୦୬ ରିଖ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱରକୁ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି କରିବାର କ୍ଷମତା ପ୍ରଦାନ କରିଛନ୍ତି । ଏଥିନିମନ୍ତେ ବୋର୍ଡ, ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ସମେତ ପ୍ରକୃତ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ପରିବେଶୀୟ ସଂସ୍ଥା ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାନର ହେଉଥିବା ସ୍ଥାନରେ ଧନବର୍ଗ, ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଯୋଗୁଁ ଉତ୍ପନ୍ନ ହେଉଥିବା ବାସିନ୍ଦା, ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଯୋଗୁଁ ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ବାସିନ୍ଦାମାନଙ୍କଠାରୁ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାନ ଯୋଗୁଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ସମୀକ୍ଷା ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମତବ୍ୟ ଓ ଆପତ୍ତି ପତ୍ର ଆହ୍ୱାନ କରୁଛନ୍ତି । ଏଥିନିମନ୍ତେ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିର ଅର୍ଥ କେବଳ ନିମ୍ନରେ ବୁଝାଯାଉଛି:

- (କ) ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ବାବା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ବା ହେବାକୁ ଅବା ଯେକୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ।
 - (ଖ) ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସମ୍ପର୍କିତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ମଞ୍ଜୁରୀ ପାଇଁ ଆକ୍ରମଣ ଦରଖାସ୍ତ ପରିପ୍ରେକ୍ଷୀରେ ବାହା ଉପରେ କର୍ତ୍ତୃତ୍ୱ ନାହିଁ କରାଯାଉଥିବା କୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ବିଶେଷ ।
 - (ଗ) ପରିବେଶ କ୍ଷେତ୍ରରେ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କରୁଥିବା କିମ୍ବା ଏବଂ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ବାବା ପ୍ରଭାବିତ ହେଉଥିବା ପଞ୍ଜିକୃତ ବା ଅଣପଞ୍ଜିକୃତ) ଯେକୌଣସି ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ସମୂହଙ୍କ ସଂଘ ।
 - (ଘ) ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପର ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ ସାମାଜିକ ନିକଟବର୍ତ୍ତୀ ଅଞ୍ଚଳର ଯେକୌଣସି ସ୍ଥାନୀୟ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ ।
- ଉପରୋକ୍ତ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ସେମାନଙ୍କର ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବ, ମତାମତ, ମତବ୍ୟ ଏବଂ ଆପତ୍ତି ଉଦ୍ୟୋଗିତ୍ୱ ସତ୍ୟାପନ, ତାଙ୍କ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାକୁ ଲିଖିତ ଆକାରରେ ଏହି ବିଜ୍ଞାପନ ପ୍ରକାଶନର ୧୫ ଦିନ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଭେଟିବୁ ଡାକ୍ତରୀରେ ଜଣାଇପାରିବେ । ଏହାଛଡା ଉକ୍ତ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଉପରେ ଲିଖିତ ବା ମୌଖିକ ଭାବେ ମତାମତ ଦେବା ପାଇଁ ଉକ୍ତ ବ୍ୟକ୍ତିମାନେ ତା.୨୭.୦୨.୨୦୨୬ ରିଖ ଦିବା ୧୦.୦୦ ଘଟିକା ସମୟରେ ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ମହମ୍ମଦନଗର ପାଟଣା ଗ୍ରାମପଞ୍ଚାୟତ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ ପ୍ରାଙ୍ଗଣ ଠାରେ ଧାର୍ଯ୍ୟ ସର୍ବସାଧାରଣ ଶୁଣାଣି ସମୟରେ ମଧ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନ କରିପାରିବେ ।
- ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ବିଷୟରେ ବିଶଦ ଭାବରେ ଜାଣିବା ପାଇଁ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀ (Environmental Impact Assessment) ଏବଂ ଏହାର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ନିମ୍ନଲିଖିତ ସ୍ଥାନମାନଙ୍କରେ ମିଳିପାରିବ ।

୧. ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ।
୨. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପ କେନ୍ଦ୍ର, ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ।
୩. ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିଷଦ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ନିର୍ବାହୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ।
୪. ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ପରିବେଶ ଭବନ, ଏ/୧୧୮, ନୀଳକଣ୍ଠ ନଗର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର-୭୫୧୦୧୨ ।
୫. ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା, ବାଲେଶ୍ୱର ।
୬. ଜଙ୍ଗଲ, ପରିବେଶ ଓ ଜଳବାୟୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ବିଭାଗ, ଓଡ଼ିଶା ସରକାର, ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱର ।

ଏତଦବ୍ୟତୀତ ପରିବେଶୀୟ ପ୍ରଭାବ ମୂଲ୍ୟାୟନ ବିବରଣୀର ନିର୍ବାହୀ ସାରାଂଶ (Executive Summary) ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଏବଂ ଇଂରାଜୀ ଭାଷାରେ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ୱେବସାଇଟ୍ <https://ospboard.odisha.gov.in> ରୁ ମଧ୍ୟ ମିଳିପାରିବ ।

ଏ ବିଷୟରେ ସବିଶେଷ ବିବରଣୀ ପାଇଁ ରାଜ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ବୋର୍ଡ, ଓଡ଼ିଶାର ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, ବାଲେଶ୍ୱରସ୍ଥିତ ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ କିମ୍ବା ଭୁବନେଶ୍ୱରସ୍ଥିତ ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟରେ ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବଙ୍କ ସହ ଯୋଗାଯୋଗ କରାଯାଇପାରେ ।

ସଦସ୍ୟ ସଚିବଙ୍କ ଆଦେଶ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ

OSPCB-PH-MISC-0038-2025/01/2026 OIPR-8001/8012/1/25-26/0011 ସା./-ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ପରିବେଶ ଯନ୍ତ୍ରୀ

Handwritten signature and date: 01/09-2026

Central Library
S.P.C. Board
A/118, Nilaparanta Nagar
UNIT-VIII, Bhubaneswar-12